Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery (2025) 9/1:1676-1681
DOI: https://doi.org/10.32391/ajtes.v9i1.435

OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS

REVIEW ARTICLES

Basic Medical Emergency Treatment for Maxillofacial Injuries

Ilirian Lenjani[1], Fatime Lenjani[2], Mentor Mustafa[2], Agron Dogjani[3], Basri Lenjani[1*]

Received: 15 December 2024 / *Accepted:* 4 January 2025 / *Published online:* 20 January 2025
This article is published with open access at *https://journal.astes.org.al*

Abstract

Introduction: Maxillofacial trauma presents unique challenges due to the complex anatomy of the face, encompassing vital structures. Beyond physical injuries, these cases significantly impact a patient's appearance and function, necessitating a multidisciplinary approach.

Challenges: Maxillofacial trauma often co-occurs with other injuries, particularly head, chest, and extremity trauma. This increases complexity, with head injuries observed in 7.6-8.9% of facial fracture cases, frequently associated with lower Glasgow Coma Scores. Cervical spine injuries and airway obstruction are significant concerns.

Management: While trauma management has significantly improved mortality rates, maxillofacial injuries in polytrauma patients remain a challenge. Their proximity to the brain, spine, and airway necessitates modifications to standard ABC assessments. These modifications often incorporate DRSABCDE, a comprehensive evaluation that includes airway clearance with C-spine control, breathing, ventilation, oxygenation, circulation, disability-neurologic status, exposure-environment, and body temperature. Each component of DRSABCDE is crucial in the initial management of maxillofacial trauma.

Conclusion: Continuous education and training in triage, communication, and advanced life support (e.g., BLS-AED, ACLS, PHTLS, BTLS, ATLS) are crucial and empowering for healthcare professionals managing maxillofacial trauma in polytrauma patients. This ongoing learning equips them with the necessary skills and knowledge to handle these complex cases effectively.

Keywords: Maxillofacial injuries, airway management, trauma, polytrauma, emergency care, facial fractures.

Introduction

Maxillofacial injuries are a not unusual presentation in emergency departments, ranging from uncomplicated nasal fractures to complicated facial disfigurements. Coping with these injuries poses full-size demanding situations due to the noticeably vascular nature of the place, its involvement in upper airway features, and its proximity to cranial and cervical systems, which are often concomitantly affected. [1, 2]

In contrast to non-maxillofacial trauma, where standardized protocols for airway, respiratory, and circulatory (ABC) control are nicely established, dealing with maxillofacial injuries frequently calls for specialized considerations. Those issues are necessary because of the specific challenges posed by the location's noticeably vascular nature, its involvement in higher airway function, and its proximity to cranial and cervical structures, which might be regularly concomitantly affected.

This evaluation specializes in the initial control of these sufferers, specifically addressing challenges associated with airway protection, cervical spine stabilization, and circulatory support while highlighting controversies and complexities in care.

Maxillofacial accidents can contain superficial lacerations, smooth tissue damage, or fractures and can

Original article, no submission or publication in advance or in parallel

* ***Corresponding author:***
Prof. Assoc. Basri Lenjani MD. MSc. PhD
✉ *basrilenjani@yahoo.com*

1 Emergency Clinic, University Clinical Centre of Pristina, KOSOVO
2 Alma Mater Europaea Campus College "Rezonanca" Pristina, KOSOVO
3 University Hospital of Trauma, Tirana, ALBANIA

coexist with accidents to the pinnacle, chest, abdomen, cervical spine, or extremities [4].

Head injuries are often the most extreme and call for early interest. The superiority of head injuries in instances of maxillofacial trauma is stated to range between 7.6% and 8.9%, with the hazard growing as the number of facial fractures rises and focus degrees decrease. The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) is a precious device for assessing the severity of those injuries.[5]

Maxillofacial trauma is an essential situation that may independently pose existence-threatening dangers or exacerbate the consequences of related accidents. Physicians must examine the affected person promptly, determine the minimum fasting time (MFT), and become aware of extra pathologies to ensure timely and decisive intervention. A multidisciplinary technique isn't simply frequently necessary however vital to deal with the complexities of such cases, making every healthcare professional a valued a part of the team. [6, 7]

The aetiology of maxillofacial injury? The leading reasons for maxillofacial fractures internationally include street site visitors' injuries, falls, assaults, firearm accidents, and sports activities or industrial injuries. Significantly, over 60% of sufferers with maxillofacial trauma (MF trauma) revel in a couple of injuries, often accompanied by way of vast risks of airway compromise.[8]

Injuries related to MF trauma often include the head, face, cervical backbone, and eyes. While nasal and mandibular fractures are the most usually determined accidents in emergency departments, mid-facial and zygomatic fractures are more prevalent in specialized trauma centers. [8]

Patients with extreme facial trauma regularly present with multisystem trauma, contributing to the complexity of their control.

Key associated risks encompass:

- *Airway compromise*: found in approximately 60% of severe cases.
- *Concurrent mind injuries:* taking place in 20–50% of cases.
- Cervical spine injuries: observed in 1–4% of instances.
- *Blindness:* mentioned in zero.5–three% of instances.[9]

Maxillofacial trauma can result from various aetiologies, along with motor car accidents, interpersonal violence, household accidents, athletic activities, animal assaults, business incidents, and gunshot wounds. Knowing those reasons and dangers is vital for developing effective emergency and trauma care control strategies.[10]

Signs and symptoms of Maxillofacial injuries

Maxillofacial accidents can come with several symptoms, including:

- *Altered facial sensation:* Numbness or tingling in affected areas.
- *Deformity or asymmetry:* visible misalignment or distortion of facial functions.
- *Impaired nasal respiratory:* caused by swelling, bleeding, or structural damage.
- *Diplopia* (double vision): as a result of orbital accidents.
- *Missing teeth:* A signal of dental trauma regularly associated with facial accidents.
- *Swelling or bruising* around the eyes: [11]

This can cause imaginative and prescient disturbances or brief impairment. These signs and symptoms require a set-off assessment to decide the harm volume and appropriate control techniques. This proactive technique is critical in coping with maxillofacial trauma efficaciously.[12]

Diagnosis and Emergency Care of Maxillofacial Trauma

Diagnosis: Computed tomography (CT) is the gold general imaging modality for comparing facial trauma. It allows specific visualization of bone and smooth tissues, permitting correct evaluation of the accidents. Excessive or complicated injuries can also require facial reconstruction surgical treatment for the most efficient, functional, and aesthetic consequences. [13]

Emergency Care;

Initial Eye examination: the primary evaluation of maxillofacial injuries ought to consist of a thorough eye examination, assessing:

- Visual acuity.
- Pupillary light reflexes.
- Ocular movements.

Any acute discount in visual acuity warrants a direct referral to an ophthalmologist or maxillofacial healthcare professional for specialized care. [14]

Initial Airway assessment:

A scientific looking, listening, and feeling approach is essential to becoming aware of airway obstructions and assuming complications. Airway management in unconscious trauma sufferers needs to encompass cervical spine safety. High-velocity trauma involving the mandible often disrupts swallowing due to pain and impaired reflex modulation, which may compromise airway clearance. [15]

General Assessment:

The primary evaluation of maxillofacial accidents should prioritize identifying life-threatening conditions. Related accidents, which include cervical spine fractures and widespread head trauma, have to be cautiously evaluated. Following the standard Airway, respiratory, and Flow (ABC) protocol, instant and suitable management ought to be implemented. [16]

History and Treatment of Maxillofacial Trauma

The primary assessment of maxillofacial injuries should prioritize identifying life-threatening conditions. Focusing on:

- Mechanism of injury and any related lack of recognition.
- Visual disturbances, together with impaired eye movement.

- Hearing problems such as vertigo or tinnitus.
- Presence of discharge from the ears or nostril, including blood or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).
- Nasal respiration problems.
- Ability to bite down without pain and normal alignment of the teeth.
- Any facial numbness or tingling sensations. [17]

Treatment

Surgical intervention is warranted if the injury impairs everyday characteristics3 or consequences in significant deformity. The primary objectives of treatment consist of:

- Controlling bleeding and making sure of a clear airway.
- Treating fractures and stabilizing bone segments.
- Minimizing scarring when viable.
- Preventing complications including double vision, sunken eyes, or cheekbones.
- Identifying and addressing related injuries.

Treatment should commence promptly if the patient is solid and has no neck fracture. [18]

Initial Hospital Care and Usage of the ATLS System:

- A: Airway management with cervical spine protection.
- B: Breathing and ventilation assessment.
- C: Circulation and hemorrhage control.
- D: Evaluation of neurologic disability.
- E: Exposure and environment control.

Regular reassessment is essential to ensure patient balance and development of care. [19]

Algorithm for Trauma Management:

1. *Primary Survey*: Follow the ABCDEs of trauma care.
2. *Resuscitation:* Stabilize vital signs and initiate immediate interventions.
3. *Secondary Survey:* Conduct a thorough head-to-toe evaluation, including the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) assessment.
4. *Definitive Care:* Address all identified injuries and implement long-term management strategies. [19, 20]

Management stages for Trauma patients

A. Pre-hospital phase

- Notify the receiving hospital earlier than the trauma patient's arrival.
- Transport of the trauma patients to the nearest, most appropriate facility.

B. In-Hospital Phase

- Implement advanced planning to handle trauma upon arrival.
- Establish protocols for summoning extra clinical assistance as wanted.
- Ensure transfer agreements with verified trauma facilities are in place.
- Take precautions to save you from the spread of infectious illnesses. [21]

Emergency management of Maxillofacial Trauma

Airway Control

- Maintain the airway using chin lift, jaw thrust, and oropharyngeal suctioning techniques
- Manually strengthen the tongue beforehand, even while preserving cervical backbone immobilization.

Key considerations for airway control:

- Risk of the tongue falling back and obstructing the airway
- Presence of blood clots in the mouth or throat.
- Fractured tooth or damaged dental fillings.
- Dentures or different capability obstructions.

Haemorrhage Control

- Manage soft tissue lacerations effectively.
- Provide support for bone fragments and practice brief immobilization as needed.
- Control pain and prevent infections, specifically in instances of compound fractures.
- Initiate fluid resuscitation directly.

Managing mechanical asphyxia:

- D: Dislocation or fractures of the jaws.
- O: Obstruction due to tissue or overseas bodies.
- ok: Soft tissue lacerations functioning as a valve at some stage in inhalation, compromising the airway.
- S: Airway stenosis.
- A: Aspiration of blood clots, fractured teeth, or other foreign objects.

Breathing

Without airway compromise, the focal point shifts to evaluating respiration function.

Keep in mind the six number one reasons for respiratory compromise:

1. Upper airway obstruction.
2. Tension pneumothorax.
3. Open pneumothorax.
4. Flail chest.
5. Massive hemothorax.
6. Cardiac tamponade. [5, 11]

Cervical spine protection

The ATLS principle emphasizes that trauma above the clavicle necessitates a high suspicion of cervical backbone damage. Therefore, sufferers with maxillofacial or craniofacial trauma have to be managed with:

- Apply a cervical spine collar until clinical and radiological clearance.
- Comprehensive neurological examination, including cranial nerve evaluation.
- Assessment for cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) rhinorrhoea. [22]

Hemorrhage control

- Insert large-bore IV strains to update fluid loss and rule out hidden bleeding in the thorax, stomach, or vital organs.
- Assess circulation by monitoring blood volume, cardiac output, cognizance level, skin color, and pulse.

Specific strategies for maxillofacial bleeding:

- *Apply direct pressure* while avoiding blind clamping of wounds.
- Perform ligation or electrocauterization with good enough visualization to prevent nerve injury.
- For *nasal bleeding*, utilize anterior or posterior nasal packing.
- Address pharyngeal bleeding by packing around the endotracheal tube and suturing soft tissues.

Disability (Neurological status)

Evaluating the opportunity for brain damage is critical in trauma cases. A decrease in the patient's level of consciousness may indicate a primary brain injury and is assessed through:

- *Pupil size and reactivity.*
- *Responsiveness:* whether or not the patient is alert, responds to verbal stimuli, reacts most effectively to pain, or is unresponsive.

The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) is a treasured scientific device for monitoring neurological reputation following head trauma.

- *A GCS score of 15* reflects a patient who's alert, cooperative, and responsive in motor, verbal, and eye responses.
- *A GCS score of 8 or less* indicates severe cranial trauma. [12, 16, 18]

Complications of Maxillofacial Injuries

Maxillofacial trauma can result in numerous complications, along with:

- Permanent facial deformities and scarring.
- Chronic sinusitis.
- Nerve injury causes loss of sensation, movement, vision, or impairments in aroma and taste.
- Malocclusion (misalignment of the teeth).

Other possible complications include:

- Bleeding.
- Uneven facial symmetry.
- Infections.
- Neurological problems affecting the brain and nervous system.
- Numbness or facial weakness.
- Vision loss or double vision. [23]

Prevention of Maxillofacial injuries

Preventive measures can considerably lessen the danger of maxillofacial trauma:

- *Seat Belts and Airbags:* These are established to decrease accidents and fatalities in motor vehicle injuries.
- *Enforcement* of consequences for alcohol and drug abuse while driving.
- *Speed* reduction for motor vehicles.
- *Helmet use:* Reduces the threat of cranial trauma by eighty percent and offers a sixty percent protective impact for top and mid-facial injuries.
- *Plastic splints* for facial safety. [24]

This study aimed to evaluate a complete literature for accurate analysis, emergency management, and hospital treatment in maxillofacial trauma.

The objectives protected:

- Establishing guidelines to aid decision-making processes in emergency care.
- Identifying the aetiologies of maxillofacial trauma cases presenting to the ED.
- Assessing associated comorbidities to improve overall management strategies.

Materials and Methods

This article highlights the typical medical and radiographic findings in maxillofacial injuries that require professional intervention. It identifies and discusses the signs and symptoms necessitating instantaneous treatment in detail.

A rapid proof synthesis technique, tailored from the positive fast response service, was hired to go looking, appraise, and summarize the fine to be had evidence on interventions to reduce street visitor accidents.

Literature search:

- a systematic seek changed into finished throughout multiple databases, including PubMed and the Cochrane Library.
- 4 of the thirteen articles recognized met the inclusion standards after very well screening titles and abstracts.

Review and Appraisal:

- Systematic critiques, meta-analyses, and different relevant studies were assessed.
- The AMSTAR tool changed into used to appraise and grade the methodological first-class of the systematic reviews deemed reasonably applicable to the research question.

Review Findings

The review synthesized evidence from systematic reviews, meta-summaries, and meta-analyses, offering insights into effective interventions for managing maxillofacial injuries and reducing road traffic injuries. This process ensures that the findings are based on high-quality evidence and can inform clinical practice.

Discussion

The human face performs a vital role as the point of interest of interaction, and accidents to the maxillofacial (MF) location extensively affect a person's physical, functional, and economic well-being. Such injuries can result in extreme morbidity, lack of features, and long-term disabilities.

The primary purpose of treating MF bone fractures is to repair the mechanical integrity of the bone and recover normal muscular function, such as chewing [5].

Following advanced Trauma life support (ATLS) standards, the initial evaluation of MF damage sufferers should prioritize preserving airway patency, a crucial component in managing trauma patients. Airway compromise can result from tongue obstruction, bleeding inside the oropharyngeal cavity, foreign bodies, or fractures. In these cases, endotracheal intubation remains the desired method for securing the airway. In instances wherein intubation isn't always feasible, cricothyroidotomy can be performed, especially in comatose patients [3].

Several studies have investigated styles and elements related to facial fractures. Our look at the mean age of members to be 41.1 ± 18.0 years, without a vast correlation between age and fractures. Fracture occurrence appears more intently connected to trauma severity as opposed to age. Older individuals are susceptible to falls because of comorbidities like osteoporosis, whereas more youthful human beings are more frequently worried about motor vehicle injuries or physical assaults.

Studies from Turkey highlight that three 1% of trauma sufferers admitted to the emergency room had an alcohol consumption, with alcohol consumption related to extra intense accidents [25]. Regularly with earlier research, our findings imply that people elderly 19–30 years are the most affected by MF trauma, with more youthful patients extra liable to avenue traffic accidents and attacks. At the same time, falls are greater accepted among older individuals.

In our analysis, falls accounted for 42.9% of female injuries, while attacks were the leading cause of injuries in adult males (47.1%). This gender-based difference underscores the need for tailor-made preventive techniques.

Most patients supplied to emergency departments with MF trauma are controlled using plastic surgeons, with treatment varying based on the nature and severity of the harm. Each MF trauma case should be approached for my part, and consultation with a skilled plastic doctor has to no longer be on time, irrespective of whether surgical treatment is essential [26].

Maxillofacial injuries, particularly the ones concerning a couple of fractures, may be lifestyles-threatening, requiring spark-off, unique remedy. As MF trauma instances become increasingly more unusual, adherence to ATLS protocols at some point in the preliminary control segment is essential. Following airway and hemorrhage control, a thorough evaluation of imaginative and prescient facial skeletal mobility and meticulous documentation of findings is necessary.

The number one survey of trauma sufferers, guided by ATLS protocols, includes opinions on airway clearance with cervical spine control, respiration, air flow, neurological popularity, exposure, and body temperature (DRSABCDE). This method provides a dependent framework for handling complex trauma instances [27].

MF trauma poses enormous risks due to its lifestyles-threatening nature and the capability for associated accidents. Early diagnosis, timely intervention, and suitable control of each MF injury and other systemic traumas can significantly lessen morbidity and mortality [26].

Conclusion

Continuous schooling and schooling in triage, communique, and advanced life aid (e.g., BLS-AED, ACLS, PHTLS, BTLS, ATLS) are essential and empowering for healthcare experts dealing with maxillofacial trauma in polytrauma sufferers. This ongoing getting-to-know equips them with the necessary talents and knowledge to address these complicated instances efficaciously.

COI Statement: This paper has yet to be submitted in parallel, presented fully or partially at a meeting, podium, or congress, published, or submitted for consideration beforehand.

This research received no specific funding from public, commercial, or non-profit sectors. The authors declare that they, their relatives, or next of kin have no financial relationships with external companies that could be considered relevant or minor.

Disclosure: The authors declared no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this study.

References

1. Jose, A., Nagori, S. A., Agarwal, B., Bhutia, O., & Roychoudhury, A. (2016). Management of maxillofacial trauma in an emergency: An update of challenges and controversies. *Journal of emergencies, trauma, and shock*, *9*(2), 73–80. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-2700.179456
2. Sharma M. Evaluation of traumatic maxillofacial injuries in the population. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2021;9(12):69–72. https://jamdsr.com/uploadfiles/16vol9issue12pp69-72.20211222041716.pdf
3. Arslan, E.D., Solakoglu, A.G., Komut, E. *et al.* Assessment of maxillofacial trauma in emergency department. *World J Emerg Surg* **9**, 13 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-7922-9-13
4. Khan, T. U., Rahat, S., Khan, Z. A., Shahid, L., Banouri, S. S., & Muhammad, N. (2022). Etiology and pattern of maxillofacial trauma. *PloS one*, *17*(9), e0275515. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275515
5. Putri, A. V., Endang Sjamsudin, & Melita Sylvyana. (2023). Emergency Management of Multiple Maxillofacial Trauma Patients with Head Injury: A Case Report. *International Journal of Medical Science and Clinical Research Studies*, *3*(10), 2303–2309. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmscrs/v3-i10-37

6. Ramli, R., Rahman, N. A., Rahman, R. A., Hussaini, H. M., & Hamid, A. L. (2011). A retrospective study of oral and maxillofacial injuries in Seremban Hospital, Malaysia. *Dental traumatology: Official publication of International Association for Dental Traumatology*, *27*(2), 122–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-9657.2010.00968.x
7. Telfer, M. R., Jones, G. M., & Shepherd, J. P. (1991). Trends in the etiology of maxillofacial fractures in the United Kingdom (1977-1987). *The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery*, *29*(4), 250–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-4356(91)90192-8
8. Chalya, P. L., Mchembe, M., Mabula, J. B., Kanumba, E. S., & Gilyoma, J. M. (2011). Etiological spectrum, injury characteristics and treatment outcome of maxillofacial injuries in a Tanzanian teaching hospital. *Journal of trauma management & outcomes*, *5*(1), 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-2897-5-7
9. van den Bergh, B., Karagozoglu, K. H., Heymans, M. W., & Forouzanfar, T. (2012). Aetiology and incidence of maxillofacial trauma in Amsterdam: a retrospective analysis of 579 patients. *Journal of cranio-maxillo-facial surgery: Official publication of the European Association for Cranio-maxillo-facial Surgery*, *40*(6), e165–e169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2011.08.006
10. Khan, T. U., Rahat, S., Khan, Z. A., Shahid, L., Banouri, S. S., & Muhammad, N. (2022). Etiology and pattern of maxillofacial trauma. *PloS one*, *17*(9), e0275515. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0275515
11. Singh, V., Malkunje, L., Mohammad, S., Singh, N., Dhasmana, S., & Das, S. K. (2012). The maxillofacial injuries: A study. *National journal of maxillofacial surgery*, *3*(2), 166–171. https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-5950.111372
12. Coulthard, P., Yong, S., Adamson, L., Warburton, A., Worthington, H. V., & Esposito, M. (2004). Domestic violence screening and intervention programs for adults with a dental or facial injury. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, (2), CD004486. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004486.pub2
13. Gómez Roselló, E., Quiles Granado, A.M., Artajona Garcia, M. *et al.* Facial fractures: classification and highlights for a useful report. *Insights Imaging* **11**, 49 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-020-00847-w
14. Perry, M., Dancey, A., Mireskandari, K., Oakley, P., Davies, S., & Cameron, M. (2005). Emergency care in facial trauma--a maxillofacial and ophthalmic perspective. *Injury*, *36*(8), 875–896. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2004.09.018
15. Ceallaigh, P. O., Ekanaykaee, K., Beirne, C. J., & Patton, D. W. (2007). Diagnosis and management of common maxillofacial injuries in the emergency department. Part 5: Dentoalveolar injuries. *Emergency medicine journal: EMJ*, *24*(6), 429–430. https://doi.org/10.1136/emj.2006.035949
16. Larrabee, K. A., Kao, A. S., Barbetta, B. T., & Jones, L. R. (2022). Midface Including Le Fort Level Injuries. *Facial plastic surgery clinics of North America*, *30*(1), 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsc.2021.08.005
17. Parker, K., Marlow, B., Patel, N., & Gill, D. S. (2017). A review of mouthguards: effectiveness, types, characteristics, and indications for use. *British Dental Journal*, *222*(8), 629–633. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2017.365
18. Phillips, B. J., & Turco, L. M. (2017). Le Fort Fractures: A Collective Review. *Bulletin of emergency and trauma*, *5*(4), 221–230. https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.beat.5.4.499.
19. Ali, J. (2012). Advanced Trauma Life Support. In: Vincent, JL., Hall, J.B. (eds) Encyclopedia of Intensive Care Medicine. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00418-6_361
20. Kovacs, G., & Sowers, N. (2018). Airway Management in Trauma. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*, *36*(1), 61–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emc.2017.08.006
21. Teixeira, P. G., Inaba, K., Hadjizacharia, P., Brown, C., Salim, A., Rhee, P., Browder, T., Noguchi, T. T., & Demetriades, D. (2007). Preventable or potentially preventable mortality at a mature trauma center. *The Journal of Trauma*, *63*(6), 1338–1347. https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e31815078ae
22. Patel BC, Wright T, Waseem M. Le Fort Fractures. [Updated 2023 Apr 3]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526060/
23. Parashar, A., & Sharma, R. K. (2013). Unfavorable outcomes in maxillofacial injuries: How to avoid and manage. *Indian journal of plastic surgery: official publication of the Association of Plastic Surgeons of India*, *46*(2), 221–234. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-0358.118597
24. Kellman RM. Maxillofacial trauma. In: Flint PW, Francis HW, Haughey BH, et al, eds. *Cummings Otolaryngology: Head and Neck Surgery.* 7th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2021:chap 20.
25. Komut, S., Sönmez, B., Erenler, A. and Komut, E. (2019). Clinical and Demographic Characteristics of Patients with Maxillofacial Trauma in the Emergency Department. *Open Journal of Emergency Medicine*, **7**, 28-39. doi: 10.4236/ojem.2019.72004.
26. Hakkoymaz, H., Ural, A., Tatli, M., & Baykan, H. (2021). Maxillofacial trauma incidence and patterns in patients admitted to the emergency department: A three-year retrospective study. *Annals of Medical Research*, *28*(5), 1059–1062. Retrieved from https://annalsmedres.org/index.php/aomr/article/view/488
27. Thim, T., Krarup, N. H., Grove, E. L., Rohde, C. V., & Løfgren, B. (2012). Initial assessment and treatment are done using the Airway Breathing, Circulation, Disability, and Exposure (ABCDE) approach. *International journal of general medicine*, *5*, 117–121. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S28478