The Progress of Patients with Blunt Abdominal Trauma Depending on the Number of the Damaged Organs.

Agron Dogjani ^{1*}, Kastriot Haxhirexha ², Arben Gjata ¹, Amarildo Blloshmi ³, Hysni Bendo ⁴

Received: 04 October 2021 / Accepted: 25 October 2021 / Published online: 20 January 2022

This article is published with open access at <https://journal.astes.org.al>

© The author(s) 2022. & Copyright © 2022, the Albanian Society for Trauma and Emergency Surgery

© The Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery is an Open Access Journal. All articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/> which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Introduction: Blunt abdominal trauma (BAT) is one of the most common traumatic emergencies and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality, which is associated with a number of causes despite improvements in their management. This study aims to assess 308 cases of BAT and their management depending on the number of Injured organs.

Material and Methods: The study has a retrospective character and it has been realized within the period of time from January 2016 to December 2018. In our study are included 308 trauma cases admitted at the University Hospital of Trauma in Tirana Albania. All recorded data were grouped as follows; Demographic data, trauma mechanism, admission time in hospital, the mode of management...

Results: The sample of the population was chosen by chance which means that this study is included all trauma cases presented at the emergency department and hadn't any study limitation.

In our study, based on the mechanism of trauma, motor vehicle accident [191(62%)] was the most common mechanism of injury, and most of the patients in our study were male [231(75%)], female [77 (25%)] with an M:F ratio of 3:1. The spleen [118 (38.3%)] was the most damaged organ after BAT, and the most common surgical procedure performed was splenectomy [53 (35.5%)].

The majority of traumatized patients presented to the Emergency Department in stable hemodynamic condition [145 (47.1%)]

Conclusions: The progress of patients with BAT has a close relationship with The number of injured organs. The number and presence of EAI organs were important which worsen patient conditions and influence at the prognosis. The time of hospitalization of patients has a lot to do with the result. Early diagnosis and prompt treatment can save many lives.

Keywords: Blunt abdominal trauma, Injured organs, early diagnosis, resuscitation

Abbreviations:

Blunt abdominal trauma - BAT; Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma – FAST; Computed Tomography – CT; Operative Management - OM; Non-Operative Management - NOM; Instable Hemodynamically – InStHd; Stable Hemodynamically – StHd; Motor Vehicle Accidents –

MVA; Falling from Height - FfH; Hemodynamic Conditions – HdCo; Vulnerable Hemodynamically - VuHd; Extra-Abdominal Injuries – EAI; Multiple Organ Failure – MOF;

Introduction

Trauma has been called the neglected disease of modern society. Trauma is the leading cause of death and disability in developing countries and the most common cause of death under 45 years of age.[1]

World over injury is the 7th cause of mortality and abdomen is the third most common injured organ. Abdominal injuries require surgery in about 25% of cases. 85% of abdominal traumas are of blunt character.[3]

The spleen and liver are the most commonly injured organs as a result of blunt trauma. Clinical examination alone is inadequate because patients may have altered mental status and distracting injuries. Initial resuscitation

Original article, no submission or publication in advance or in parallel

* Corresponding author:

Asc. Prof. Dr.Agron Dogjani, MD, Ph.D. FACS, FICS, FISS

✉ agrondogjani@yahoo.com

1 University of Medicine of Tirana, ALBANIA

2 General Surgeon at Clinical Hospital of Tetovo, RN of MACEDONIA.

3 General Physician National Centre of Medical Emergency, Tirana, ALBANIA

4 Resident in Internal Medicine at the SRH Clinic, Germany

along with and abdomen are very beneficial to detect those patients with minimal and clinically undetectable signs of abdominal injury and are the part of recent management guidelines.

Approach to trauma should be systemic and prioritized. About 10% of patients have persistent hypovolemic shock as a result of continuous blood loss in spite of aggressive fluid resuscitation and require an urgent laparotomy.

Damage control laparotomy is a life saving procedure for such patients with life-threatening injuries and to control haemorrhage and sepsis.[17] On the other spectrum, there has been increasing trend towards non operative management (NOM) of blunt trauma amounting to 80% of the cases with failure rates of 2-3%.[4]

NOM is a standard protocol for hemodynamically stable solid organ injuries. Pre-hospital transportation, initial assessment, thorough resuscitative measures and correct diagnosis are of utmost importance in trauma management.[2]

Materials and Methods

The study has a retrospective character and it has been realized within the period of time from January 20016 to December 2018. In our study are included 308 trauma cases admitting at University Hospital of Trauma in Tirana, Albania.

All the trauma patient after Primary Survey & resuscitation, detailed clinical history, physical examination, laboratory tests and x-rays, with focused assessment with sonography in trauma (FAST) was done to arrive at the diagnosis. CT scanner was done in most of the cases.

Patients were categorized in two groups instable and stable hemodynamically (InStHd and StHd)

Patient management progress was monitored step by step, and the decision on treatment (NOM vs OM) was based on algorithms already all previously accepted.

Patients who failed NOM and were InStHd, continued to worsen despite proper resuscitation or who had evidence of involvement of cavitory organs were taken for immediate laparotomy.

Other variables such as age, gender, cause of BAT, time of presentation of the patient to the emergency department, signs and clinical symptoms, finding in the operating table.

The various procedures were very important in the progress of traumatized patients, the performed surgery, associated extra-abdominal injuries, postoperative complications...

Results

There was included in this study 308 patients, male 231 (75%), female 77 (25%) of cases. The ranging of patients age was; a - < 14 years old 68 (22%), b - 14-40 years old 117 (37.9%); c - over 40 years old 123 (40.1%) of cases. (Tab 1).

Table 1: Age and gender-wise distribution

Parameter		No of patients	% of patients
Age group (years)	Less than 14	68	22
	14-40 years old	117	37.9
	over 40 years	123	40.1
Gender	Males	231	75
	Females	77	25

The Epidemiological data based on mechanism of injuries were; a - MVA 191 (62%); b- Falling from height (FfH) - 86 (28%); c - Assault - 31 (10 %) of all cases. Motor vehicle accidents involving both pedestrians and vehicular accidents accounted for 62% majority of injuries (Tab 2).

Table 2: Mechanism of Injury distribution

Mechanism of Injury	No of patients	% of patients
Motor vehicle accidents	191	62
Falling from height	86	28
Assault	31	10

Distribution in according to the mode of transportation to the hospital were; Privat Car 166 (53,9%); Auto-ambulance 135 (43,8%); Helicopter 7 (2,3%) of cases;

The admission time in hospital was as followings: a - Immediately 246 (79.8%); b - after 6 hours 37(12,1%); c - after 24 hours 25 (8,1%) of cases.

The Hemodynamic conditions (HdCo) in admission were as fellow: stable hemodynamically (StHd) in 145 (47,1%), vulnerable hemodynamically (VuHd) in 68 (22,1%); instable hemodynamically (InStHd) in 95(30.8%) of cases. (Tab 3).

Table 3: The distribution of the patient's data in admission of DE

The patient's data	No of patients	% of patients
The mode of transportation		
• Privat Car	166	53,9%
• Auto-ambulance	135	43,8%
• Helicopter	7	2,3%
The admission time in hospital		
a - Immediately	246	79.8%
b- after 6 hours	37	12,1%
c - after 24 hours	25	8,1%
The Hemodynamic conditions		
• stable hemodynamically	145	47,1%
• vulnerable hemodynamically	68	22,1%
• instable hemodynamically	95	30.8%

The distribution of the initial clinical assessment for all recorded patients in the admission, in our study was as follow; the abdominal pain 209 (67.8%); The abdominal tenderness 200 (65%) of the cases; Hypovolemia was present in 95(30.8%) of the cases; the abdominal guarding

in 72(23.3%) of the cases; Gastrointestinal haemorrhage 25(8.1%) of the cases; vomiting 240 (78%) of the cases; Dyspnoea in 117 (38%) of the cases; and haematuria in 38 (12.3%) of the patients (Table 4).

Table 4: The distribution of the initial clinical assessment of the patients

The clinical assessment	No of patients	% of patients
The Abdominal Pain	209	67.8
The abdominal tenderness	200	65
Gastrointestinal haemorrhage	25	8.1
Hypovolemia	95	30.8
The abdominal guarding	72	23.3
Nausea & Vomiting	240	78
Dyspnoea	117	38
Haematuria	38	12.3

However, large amounts of blood can accumulate in the peritoneal and pelvic cavities without any significant or early changes in the physical examination findings. Bradycardia may indicate the presence of free intraperitoneal blood.

Correlations		TRANSPORT mode
Kendall's tau-b	Hemodynamic conditions in Admission	Correlation Coefficient 2.12
	Sig.(2-tailed)	0.48
	No	308

Figure 1 Hemodynamic conditions in Admission and mode of transportation correlation

Through correlation coefficient of Kendal's tau-b, we can see that there is a relation between the Hemodynamic conditions in Admission and mode of transportation (Fig. 1)

We had also distribution of extra-abdominal injuries (EAI) in our study as follow; retroperitoneal hematoma 42 (13.6%), Head Trauma 74 (24%), and haemothorax 61 (20%), and pneumothorax 26 (8.4%) of the cases.

Associated injuries in our study were mainly rib fractures in 88 (28.5%), Pelvic fractures 26 (8.4%), Extremity fractures 48 (16.6%) of the cases; spine fracture 18 (5.8%) of the cases (Tab 5).

Table 5: The distribution of the extra-abdominal injuries

Extra-Abdominal Injuries	No of patients	% of patients
The retroperitoneal hematoma	42	13.6
Head Trauma	74	24
Haemothorax	61	20
Pneumothorax	26	8.4
The Associated injuries		
The Rib Fractures	88	28.5
The Pelvic fractures	26	8.4
The Extremity fractures	48	16.6
The Spine Fracture	18	5.8

Most of the accompanying injuries were NOM, while haemothorax and pneumothorax required chest drainage. To identify abdominal injuries, we used radiological examination such as: X-ray of the abdomen, FAST and CT scan of the abdomen and pelvis and multiple injuries were detected.

Based on the way of treatment there were NOM in 159 (51.6%) of the cases, and with OM in 149 (48.4%) of the cases. (Tab 6).

The time of surgery was a - within 1- 2 hours 22 (14.7 %); b - after 2-6 hours 50 (33.5%), c - after 6 - 24 hours 47 (31.5%); d - after 24 hours 30 (20.3%) of cases.

In according to the distribution of intra abdominal injured (IAI) organs including OC & OS resulted these data; Lien 118 (38.3%), Liver 98 (31.8%), Kidney 33(10.7 %), Pancreas 9 (2.9%) Intestine 55(17.8%), Urinary Bladder 19 (6.1%), Urethra 13 (3.2%), Mesentery tear 11 (3.5%), Diaphragm rupture 18 (5.9%), Big vessels 6 (1.9%), Retroperitoneal hematoma 116 (37.6%) of the cases. (Tab 6).

Table 6: The distribution of the time of surgery and the organ injured

The time of surgery	No of patients	% of patients
within 1- 2 hours	22	14.7
after 2-6 hours	50	33.5
after 6 - 24 hours	47	31.5
after 24 hours	30	20.3
Mode of Treatment		
• NOM	159	51.6
• OM	149	48.4
The Organ Injured		
Lien	118	38.3
Liver	98	31.8
Kidney	33	10.7
Pancreas	9	2.9
Intestine	55	17.8
Urinary Bladder	19	6.1
Urethra	13	3.2
Mesentery tear	11	3.5
Diaphragm rupture	18	5.9
Big vessels	6	1.9
Retroperitoneal hematoma	116	37.6

Based on the total cases is reported 159 (51.6%) cases were with NOM and Splenic injury was in 65 (40.8%), liver injured 46 (28.9%); Pancreas trauma in 7 (4.4%); renal injuries in 23 (14.4%); Urinary Bladder contusion in 9 (6.9%), Urethral injured 9 (6.9%) of cases.

Based on the total cases is reported 149 (48.4%) cases were with OM and the kind of surgery performed was as following: Splenectomy 53 (35.5 %), 52 Liver surgeries including: a) Liver Suture 34 (22.8%), b) Perihepatic packing 12(8%), c) Selective vasa liver ligature 4 (2.6%):

d) Anatomical and atypical liver resections 2 (1.3%); Nephrectomy - 8 (5.3 %), Kidney suture in 2(1.2%); Pancreas repair 2(1.2%); Intestine suture / resection 18 (12.1%), Urinary Bladder Suture 10 (6.7%), Urethra 4(1.2%) of cases.

The distribution of BAT patient data by age and number of damaged organs was as follows:

a – with one injured organ 203 (65.9%); b- with two injured organs 61 (19.8%); c – with more than two injured organs 44 (14.2%) of cases.

The data on traumatized patients according to the number of damaged organs in relation to age, was as follows: 1 - with one injured organ in 229 (74,3%) of cases; a) less than 14 years old - 43 (14%); b) 14 – 40 years-old - 98 (32%); c) over 40 years - 88 (28.6 %); 2 - with two injured organs in 55 (17.8%) of cases; a) less than 14 years old - 8 (2.6%); b) 14 – 40 years-old - 19 (5.2%); c) over 40 years - 28 (9.1%); 3- with more than two injured organs 24 (7.9%) of cases. a) less than 14 years old - 4 (1,4%); b) 14 – 40 years-old - 8 (2.6%) of cases; c) over 40 years - 12 (3.9%) of cases. (fig. 2)

The length of hospital stay always depends on the number and degree of damaged organs, hemodynamic status on admission and ongoing, the presence of EAI, age and comorbidity of patients.

The type of Blunt abdominal trauma which is isolated or combined, where the outcomes of the isolated BAT were often more favourable.

The time of performing the Surgery which in cases with obvious injuries, or diagnosed in time, the sooner the OM is performed the better the results will be.

In general, the performance of patients with BAT, the impact of the number of damaged organs, it is quite complex and intertwined with a series of factors which make it difficult to accurately predict.

The number or presence of damaged extra-abdominal organs which aggravate the condition of the patient and consequently his progress, but often extra-abdominal injuries result in intra-abdominal injuries such as. Rib fractures.

Mortality rate in our study was seen in 6 (2%) cases in our study out of which 2 was intra-operative. Commonest cause was irreversible shock in 2 (0.7%) followed by cardiopulmonary arrest 2 (0.7%), and in 2 (0.7%) reason Multiple Organ Failure (MOF).

Post-operative complications most frequently observed in our study were wound infection in 8 (2.6%) and wound dehiscence in 5 (1.6%) of cases.

Discussion

BAT is an arduous task even to the best of traumatologists. Injuries ranging from single organ to mutilating multi organ trauma may be produced by blunt abdominal trauma.

Abdominal findings may be absent in 40% of patients with hemoperitoneum. Sometimes, clinical evaluation of blunt abdominal injuries may be masked by other more obvious external injuries. [2, 4]

Non therapeutic laparotomies have significantly reduced with proper and timely applications of imaging methods in BAT patients along with physical examination. Unrecognized abdominal injury is a frequent cause of preventable death after trauma. [5, 6]

Figure 2 The data on traumatized patients according to the number of damaged organs in relation to age

The patients who had sustained blunt abdominal trauma may have sustained injuries simultaneously to other systems and it is particularly important to examine for injuries of head, thorax and extremities. Vigilance and care of injuries in any of these systems may take precedence over abdominal trauma.[2]

Out of 308 cases in our study 78% of patients were in over 14 years old of age group. This goes in accord with studies of *Davis et al.* [8] and *Lowe et al.* [9] 79% cases were males and 21% were females with an M:F ratio of 3.7:1.

The male preponderance in our study reflects that the greater mobility of males for either work, such as drivers and mechanics for automobiles or recreational activities may be resulting in a higher exposure to the risk of traffic injuries.[17]

MVA in 191 (62%) of cases, is the leading cause of BAT in our study

This was equivocal with other studies conducted by *Perry et al.* [10] and *Morton et al.* [11] Thus prevention of accidents can decrease fatality. Commonest intra-abdominal injury was splenic injury with 118 (38.3%) followed by liver injury in 98(31.8%) of cases.

Th commonest hollow organ injury was small bowel perforation in 55(17.8%), and most common bowel injured was ileum in our study.

These results were consistent with other studies of *Davis et al.* [8] and *Morton et al.* [11]

In blunt trauma surgeon's main concern is control of haemorrhage, but how it can be best done with safety and less morbidity, depends on grade, severity and site of injury. [17]

Procedures done for splenic trauma in our study were splenectomy in 53 (35.5 %), and Splenectomy was done for most of grade 4 and 5 trauma and hemodynamically unstable patients of lesser grades.

In our Study we have performed in 52 cases Liver surgeries including: a) Liver Suture 34 (22.8%), b) Perihepatic packing 12(8%), c) Selective vasa liver ligation 4 (2.6%); d) Anatomical and atypical liver resections 2 (1.3%);

Hemodynamically stable patients were followed with series physical examinations; ultrasonography or CT scans thus, avoiding unnecessary laparotomy.

Kidney and urinary bladder injuries were 33(10.7%) of cases, frequently associated with pelvic fractures 26 (8.4%) of cases.

Nephrectomy through transperitoneal approach was done in 8 (5.3 %), cases of extensive renal lacerated Grade 5 injury and the patient recovered uneventfully, and Kidney suture in 2(1.2%) of cases.

All patients of renal trauma who were NOM were followed with regular CT scans and all performed well in their course. Most grade I-IV renal injuries can be managed non-operatively. [7, 8] The absolute indications for surgery include renal pedicle injury, shattered kidney, expanding hematoma, and hemodynamic instability.

In patients with intraperitoneal urinary bladder injury was performed u. bladder suture in 10 (6.7%) of cases laparotomy followed by repair of the bladder was carried out in 2 layers and the patients recovered uneventfully.

Perforation closure was done in 18 (12.1%), cases of bowel injury. One patient of grade V hepatic injury was taken for damage control surgery but the patient was in cardiogenic shock and succumbed to death intra-operatively due to cardiorespiratory arrest in spite of prompt resuscitative measures.

The abdominal injuries were associated with various extra-abdominal injuries amongst which most common were rib fractures 88(28.8%) of cases [12].

Incidence of rib fracture was consistent with study conducted by *Fazili et al.* [13] but we accounted for higher amount of haemothorax and retroperitoneal hematomas.

The higher amount of rib fractures was probably due to increase number of upper abdominal trauma. Non-recognition of an extra abdominal injury may contribute to the patients' death when a relatively simple procedure might otherwise have saved the patient's life.

Mortality rate in our study was 6 (2%) of cases.

The major cause of mortality was delayed presentation of patients and poor general condition of patient. This was in contrast to studies conducted by *Alli et al.* [15] The reason for this was early presentation of patients in our study, early diagnosis and prompt surgical intervention.

The earliest presentation was at 30 min with one case presenting as late as 15 days after the injury. The early presentation of our patients helped us to start appropriate resuscitation at time and save many lives. Commonest post-operative complication in our study was wound infection (12%) which in most cases were minor infections and were managed conservatively. This was consistent with studies conducted by *Beall et al.* [16]

The cause of sepsis/infection in these patients were necrotic tissue, mutilating injuries and late presentation in some patients. To conclude initial resuscitation measures and correct diagnosis forms the most vital part of blunt abdominal trauma management. Prompt evaluation of abdomen is mandatory to minimize preventable morbidity and mortality.

Early diagnosis can decrease mortality by 50%.[18]

Mortality is related to delayed presentation and diagnosis, associated injuries and delayed surgical intervention. Clinical abdominal assessment is inaccurate of the BAT patients since there are often distracting injuries, altered levels of consciousness, non-specific signs and symptoms, and large differences in individual patient reactions to intraabdominal injury. Out of multiple modalities available for evaluating stable patients; CT scan along with StHd are best in evaluating which patient requires surgery or in deciding which patient can be safely discharged from emergency. The main drawbacks of CT scan are its cost, low sensitivity in detecting bowel injuries and hemodynamically unstable patients. Damage control laparotomy is a potentially life-saving procedure with the

potential to mitigate the devastating clinical outcomes. [17, 19]

The swift recognition, timely and proper application of imaging methods in BAT patients along with physical examination have significantly decreased the number of non therapeutic and unnecessary laparotomies as a result and has increased NOM of solid organ injuries.

Conclusions:

The progress of patients with BAT has a close relationship with the number of injured organs. the number and presence of EAI organs were important which worsen patient conditions and influence at the prognosis. The time of hospitalization of patients has a lot to do with the result. early diagnosis and prompt treatment can save many lives

COI Statement: This paper has not been submitted in parallel. It has not been presented fully or partially at a meeting or podium or congress. It has not been published nor submitted for consideration beforehand.

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors. There are no relevant or minor financial relationships from authors, their relatives or next of kin with external companies.

Disclosure: The authors declared no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this study.

References

1. Van der Vlies CH, Olthof DC, Gaakeer M. Changing patterns in diagnostic strategies and the treatment of blunt injury to solid abdominal organs. *Int J Emerg Med* 2011; 4:47.
2. Agron Dogjani. (2013). Traumat e mbyllura të abdomenit, menaxhimi dhe trajtimi. In *Traumat e mbyllura të abdomenit, menaxhimi dhe trajtimi* (p. 282). "Botimet Barleti". <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5510592>
3. Ahmet K, Tongue Y. Blunt abdominal trauma: evaluation of diagnostic options and surgical outcomes. *Turkish J Trauma Emerg Surg* 2008; 14: 205-10.
4. Marconi Fernandes T, Escocia Dorigatti A, Monteiro BT. Nonoperative management of splenic injury grade IV is safe using rigid protocol. *Rev Col Bras Cir* 2013;40: 323-8
5. DOGJANI, A., HAXHIREXHA, K., KOLANI, H., SELMANI, E., GJATA, A., TODAJ, M., TODAJ, A., & BENDO, H. (2020). Correlation between Rib Fractures and Intra-abdominal Organ Injuries after Blunt Chest Trauma. *Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery*, 4(2), 671-677. <https://doi.org/10.32391/ajtes.v4i2.145>
6. Hassan R, Aziz AA. Computerized tomography (CT) imaging of injuries of blunt abdominal trauma: a pictorial assay. *Malays J Med Sci* 2010; 17:29-39.
7. Taviloglu K, Yanar H. Current trends in the management of blunt solid organ injuries. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg* 2009; 35: 90-4.
8. Davis J, Cohn I, Nance F. Diagnosis and management of blunt abdominal trauma. *Ann Surg* 1996;183: 880-6.
9. Lowe RJ, Boyd DR, Frank CM, Baker RJ. The negative laparotomy for abdominal trauma. *J Trauma* 1997; 2:853-61.
10. Perry JF Jr, McClellan RJ. Autopsy findings in 127 patients following fatal traffic accidents. *Surg Gynaec Obstet* 1964;119: 586-90.
11. Morton J, Hinshaw R. Blunt trauma to the abdomen. *Ann Surg* 1957; 145: 699-711.
12. Dogjani, A., Haxhirexha, K., Selmani, E., Bendo, H., Blloshmi, A., & Craga, B. (2019). Considerations and Evaluations on Abdominal Trauma in Pediatric Age. *Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery*, 3(2), 424-438. <https://doi.org/10.32391/ajtes.v3i2.64>
13. Fazili A, Nazir S. Clinical profile and operative management of blunt abdominal trauma: a retrospective one-year experience at SMHS hospital, Kashmir, India. *JK Practit* 2001; 8: 219-21
14. Diercks DB, Mehrotra A, Nazarian DJ. Clinical policy: critical issues in the evaluation of adult patients presenting to the emergency department with acute blunt abdominal trauma. *Ann Emerg Med* 2011; 4: 57.
15. Alli N. Management of blunt abdominal trauma in Maiduguri: a retrospective study. *Niger J Med* 2005; 14: 17-22.
16. Beall AC, Bricker DL, Alessi FJ, et al. Surgical considerations in the management of civilian colon injuries. *Ann Surg* 1970; 173: 971-8.
17. Agron Dogjani. (2016). Damage Control Surgery, Procedura Kirurgjikale jetëshpëtuese. In *Damage Control Surgery, Procedura Kirurgjikale jetëshpëtuese*. (p. 165). "Botimet Barleti". <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5574575>
18. Majid S, Gholamreza F, Mahmoud YM. New scoring system for intraabdominal injury diagnosis after blunt trauma. *Chin J Traumatol* 2014; 17: 19-24.
19. Wang S-Y, Liao C-H, Fu C-Y, Kang S-C. An outcome prediction model for exsanguinating patients with blunt abdominal trauma after damage control laparotomy: a retrospective study. *BMC Surg* 2014; 14: 24.