Non-surgical Treatment of Metastatic Liver Tumors with Microwave Ablation.
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Abstract
With all the measures taken, the trend of liver cancer cases has increased worldwide, as a consequence, this is accompanied by an increase in mortality by 43% (10.3 per 100,000 in 2016 (USA)) [1, 2]. Liver cancer is also associated with the lowest 5-year survival rate among all types of cancer (19%) [1]. Liver cancer (including intrahepatic bile duct cancer) was the ninth leading cause of cancer death in 2000 and rose to sixth in 2016 [3]. This shows that the identification of safe and effective treatments for liver cancer is urgent now. Microwave ablation is a thermal ablation modality that has particular applicability in treating hepatic malignancies primary tumors or metastases. Microwaves can generate very high temperatures in short time periods, potentially leading to improved treatment efficiency in larger ablation zones.

We will show a patient male 55 years old. Post-surgery of colon cancer 6 months ago. Normal exam. There is e liver metastasis in the right lobe subcapsular 22mm. The patient was a good candidate for MWA.

Conclusions
MWA is an effective and safe alternative in patients/tumors that are not suitable for resection. Survival and recurrence outcomes after MWA are significantly improved with significantly shorter hospital stays and operative times, with little or no intraoperative blood loss and minor complications. Its use should be extended more and more in the field of treatment of these patients depending on the therapeutic indications
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Introduction:
Numerous techniques have been developed to kill tumor cells including heating, freezing, radiation, chemotherapy, shutting off the blood supply to the tumor, injection of caustic agents directly to the tumor, as well as various combinations of these.[4]

Hindu and Greek healers used heat clinically for hemostasis since ancient times. Hippocrates said that “those diseases that medicine cannot cure, the knife (surgery) cures; what the knife (surgery) cannot heal, fire heals.” [5]

While most of these were introduced in the late 20th century, at least one dates to the 19th century.

The basic technique for radiofrequency RFA ablation was described a century ago by D’Arsonval, who, in 1891, first demonstrated that when RF waves passed through tissue, they caused an increase in tissue temperature [6]. In the early 1900s, RF was used relatively little for medical purposes [7].


However, it was not widely used for medical applications until the introduction of the Bovie knife in 1928 by Cushing and Bovie instrument which can be used
either for cauterization or for cutting tissue by changing the radiofrequency current. [9] Heat therapy is based on the data of Coley et al. that tumor cells are more sensitive to heat than normal cells. [10]

The needle shaft does not produce heat, on the contrary, heat is produced in the tissue and this leads to coagulation and cell necrosis. Thermal ablation techniques were described in 1995 for the first time using animal lung tumor models and then in the 2000s in humans.[11]

Microwave ablation has emerged as a newer ablation modality and addition to the range of care for minimally invasive cancers.[12]

The advantages of microwave ablation over other heat-based modalities, such as radiofrequency or laser ablation, include a larger and faster volume of tissue heating than with a single application.

Unlike radiofrequency ablation, MWA does not rely on an electrical circuit allowing the simultaneous use of multiple applicators.[13]

Microwave ablation (MWA) is a form of thermal ablation used in interventional radiology to treat cancer, which uses electromagnetic waves in the microwave energy spectrum (300 MHz to 300 GHz) to produce the effect of tissue heating.

Oscillations of polar molecules produce frictional heating, ultimately generating tissue necrosis within solid tumors. It is generally used to treat and/or reduce solid tumors in patients who are non-surgical candidates. [14]

Thermal microwave ablation (MWA) is an emerging technique that shares many characteristics with RFA. Indeed, the technical features of the procedure are almost identical to those of RFA. The differences arise from the physical phenomenon used to generate heat.[15]

While RFA benefits from resistive heating generated by electrical current, MWA refers to devices that use physical waves with frequencies higher than or equal to 900 MHz. [16]

Heat is produced by the agitation of polar molecules, such as water molecules, caused by microwave pulses; ionic polarization accounts for a much smaller fraction of energy deposition.[17]

MWA is primarily based on two frequency categories: 915 and 2450 MHz, 2450 MHz being the most commonly used while 915 MHz may present deeper tissue penetration, thus potentially larger ablation areas.[18]

MWA presents several theoretical advantages over RFA: it can provide larger areas of coagulation necrosis in shorter times since MWA is less affected by the protection of adjacent tissues provided by vaporization and carbonization, and is also much less disturbed by the heat absorption effect. [19]

MWA works by producing electromagnetic waves in the microwave energy spectrum, which create heat around the needle, which heats and destroys cancer cells. Heat closes small blood vessels and lessens the risk of bleeding. The dead tumor cells are gradually replaced by scar tissue. [20]

The medical team should use ultrasound, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging to help guide the needle electrode to the tumor.

Ablation uses one of three methods: Surgery; Percutaneous, in which the doctor inserts needle electrodes through the skin and into the site of the tumor; Laparoscopic, in which needle electrodes within a thin, plastic tube are threaded through a small hole in the skin. [21]

Each process takes about 10 to 30 minutes, with the additional time needed if multiple ablations are performed. The entire procedure usually takes one to three hours.

**Procedure**

Percutaneous image-guided thermal ablation has become an accepted treatment method for many tumors in the liver, kidney, lung, and bone [22].

In the liver, thermal ablation is considered first-line therapy for small (< 3 cm) hepatocellular carcinoma in the setting of cirrhosis and second-line therapy for the treatment of medically or surgically inoperable oligometastatic colorectal metastases [23].

Percutaneous ablation has also been used successfully to treat benign hepatic tumors, such as giant cavernous hemangiomas and hepatocellular adenomas. [24, 25]

High-powered microwave ablation systems have shown the potential to create larger ablation zones than radiofrequency ablation devices, with similar applicator size and shape [16, 17].

Percutaneous ablation of primary and secondary liver tumors has become an important treatment alternative and is likely to soon occupy a more central role in the management of all patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and liver metastases from colorectal cancer (CRC) [26].

Microwave ablations produce heat. Polar molecules in the tissue are forced to continuously realign with the oscillating electric field, in this way increasing the temperature of the tissue. Tissues with a high percentage of water solid organs and tumors) are most conducive to this type of heating. [27 -29]

Microwave radiates to tissue through an interstitial antenna, direct heating of a volume of tissue around the antenna destroys the tissue in that area.

MWAs are able to spread and effectively heat several types of tissue, even those with low electrical conductivity, high resistance, or low thermal conductivity. [28, 29]

Multiple microwave antennas can be powered simultaneously to take advantage of thermal synergy when placed in proximity or widely spaced to ablate several tumors simultaneously. [30-33]

Microwave ablation is the ability for antennas to be positioned and phased to exploit the overlap of the electromagnetic field energy.[32]

Microwave reflected power and shaft heating, short relatively low-power ablation cycles. MWA can use multiple probes. Systems of MWA with are able to decrease the applicator size and deliver higher power.
Reports on the safety and efficacy of hepatic microwave ablation have focused on the overall safety profile. [33, 34] In some cases, the sequela of diaphragmatic injury or thermal ablation may happen which depends on the position of the tumor.

Our Experience

There is a liver metastasis in right lobe subcapsular 22mm.

Patient is a good candidate for MWA. Below is tumor in ultrasound and MWA electrode.

We use the Hydro-dissection by using Sol NaCl 0,9 % -500 ml subcapsular area, to protect diaphragm. (Fig.1, 2, 3)

CT scan one month after procedure treatment, there is lesion without contrast in parenchymal contrast phase. Tumor ablated. (Fig.7).

Tumor is image post completely ablated tumor. (Fig. 7)

Patient was day hospital. Treated him with 2 g cephalixin iv. In follow up after the tumor is only a cystic lesion. MWA is a good way of treatment in patient indicated for this treatment.
Figure 6 - The end of procedure, the tumor is ablated.

Figure 7 - CT scan one month after procedure treatment, there is lesion without contrast in parenchymal contrast phase. Tumor ablated.

Discussion

Microwave ablation can be an effective treatment for primary liver cancer and for cancers that have spread to the liver in select patients whose liver tumors are unsuitable for surgical resection.[35]

In most studies, more than half of the liver tumors treated by ablation have not recurred. The success rate for completely eliminating small liver tumors is greater than 85 percent.[36]

Treatment-related serious complications are infrequent and discomfort is less than surgery.

Ablation may be used repeatedly to treat recurrent liver tumors. [35]

The percutaneous method of ablation, in which needles are inserted through the skin, is minimally invasive, produces few complications, and does not require hospital admission.[37]

Ablation is a relatively quick procedure and recovery is rapid. Chemotherapy may resume almost immediately in patients who need it.[37]

Ablation is less expensive than other treatment options.

No surgical incision is necessary—only a small nick in the skin that does not need stitches.[37]

It is important to note that MWA, like RFA, can be part of combined treatments and can cause a synergistic effect with transarterial chemoembolization (TACE). It has been shown that interruption of hepatic blood flow can increase the area of thermal ablation. [38]

Microwave ablation (MWA) are widely accepted technique to eliminate colorectal liver metastases. Although previous studies labeled thermal ablation inferior to surgical resection. Thermal ablation compared with hepatic resection in patients with at least one resectable and ablative colorectal liver metastases and no extrahepatic disease.[39]

In general, all ablative techniques show a variety of results in terms of complete ablation, complications, and treatment time, making it difficult to analyze the results and draw conclusions.

More studies and experience are needed using identical technique protocols to improve data (obtain complete pathologic ablation in all patients), standardize techniques, and obtain the best ablative technique in each field. [40].

In addition, comparative studies are necessary to then compare the ablative techniques with each other to decide which one is more promising and cost-effectiveness analysis is required.

Lack of complete histopathological ablation may be due to several factors, lack of immobilization or lack of adequate anesthesia resulting in patient movement and inefficient treatment or a wrong choice in imaging direction. [41].

Immobilization is required to minimize movement caused by discomfort due to patient position, breathing, treatment-induced pain, or other body movements. Patient movement can also be reduced by increasing the level of anesthesia given to the patient. However, simple local anesthesia may not be effective enough to use the technique optimally. [42].

CT is able to provide good spatial resolution, but it also cannot visualize flow within vessels or temperature changes in real-time. [42].

Conclusions

MWA is an effective and safe alternative in patients/tumors that are not suitable for resection. Survival and recurrence outcomes after MWA are significantly improved with significantly shorter hospital stays and operative times, with little or no intraoperative blood loss and minor complications. Its use should be extended more and more in the field of treatment of these patients depending on the therapeutic indications.
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