

Determining the Optimal Length of Root Canal Obturations Using GuttaFlow®2 and Thermafill Systems.

Lindihana Emini^{1*}, Jetmire Alimani -Jakupi¹, Nexhmije Ajeti², Amela Cana³,
Zana Jusufi-Osmani¹, Sahmedin Saliu¹

Received: 18 June 2025 / Accepted: 10 July 2025 / Published online: 20 July 2025

This article is published with open access at <https://journal.astes.org.al>

© The author(s) 2025. © The Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery is an Open Access Journal. All articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/> which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Introduction: Successful endodontic therapy requires complete mechanical and chemical preparation of the root canal system, followed by three-dimensional obturation. Inadequate obturation, despite proper cleaning and shaping, may lead to treatment failure. This study aims to compare the obturation length achieved using the GuttaFlow®2 and Thermafill systems, evaluating their effectiveness through micro-computed tomography (micro-CT).

Materials and Methods: Eighty extracted human anterior teeth were decoronated 1 mm below the cemento-enamel junction and randomly assigned to two groups. Group 1 (n=40) was obturated with GuttaFlow®2 (Coltene/Whaledent, Germany), and Group 2 (n=40) with Thermafill (Dentsply DeTrey, Germany). All samples were scanned using a cone-beam micro-CT system (µCT 35, SCANCO Medical, Switzerland) to assess the length and quality of canal obturation.

Results: Statistical analysis using the Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA test ($H=4.0383$, $p=0.2574$) showed no significant difference in obturation length between the two groups. Both cold (GuttaFlow®2) and warm (Thermafill) techniques achieved optimal filling lengths, indicating that obturation quality is not significantly influenced by the type of material or technique.

Conclusion: Both GuttaFlow®2 and Thermafill systems are effective in achieving the desired obturation length. The choice between warm and cold obturation techniques may be based on clinician preference, as neither demonstrated superiority in this study.

Keywords: Root canal, obturation length, micro-CT, GuttaFlow®2, Thermafill

Introduction

The success of endodontic therapy depends on the complete chemical and mechanical preparation of the root canal system, followed by its obturation, while the primary goal

of endodontic therapy is the tridimensional filling of the endodontic space [1]. Even the best efforts to treat and sterilize the root canal can be compromised if obturation is incomplete [1].

The purpose of obturation is to reach the site where healing of the pulp and periodontal tissues is expected [2]. Determining the optimal length for biomechanical preparation and obturation is essential for effective biofilm elimination [3].

One of the ongoing debates in endodontics is determining the ideal length for canal obturation. The apical constriction is often used as a reference point [4], although its topography varies significantly among patients [5]. Ponce and Fernandez histologically examined the cement-dentin junction and the diameter of the apical foramen. [6] They found that the cement-dentin border, where two histologically distinct tissues meet, is subject to anatomical variation [7]. The obturation length can greatly influence treatment

Original article, no submission or publication in advance or in parallel

* Corresponding author:

Lindihana Emini, MD

✉ lindihana.emini@unite.edu.mk

¹ Department of Dental Pathology and Endodontics, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Tetovo, North Macedonia

² Department of Dental Pathology and Endodontics, UBT College, Pristina, Kosovo

³ Department of Dental Pathology and Endodontics, International Balkan University, Skopje, North Macedonia

success. Both underfilling and overfilling beyond the apical foramen are linked to a poor prognosis [8].

Efforts to determine the optimal working length have resulted in the development of various methods to enhance accuracy during endodontic procedures [9, 10]. Ricucci suggested that the root canal should be prepared 0.5 mm short of the radiographic apex, ideally at the apical constriction [11]. While periapical radiography remains the most common diagnostic and planning tool, its limitations are well understood—it provides only a two-dimensional image of a three-dimensional structure [11, 12].

Other diagnostic tools, such as digital radiography, densitometry, CT scans, and MRI scans, have been studied [13, 14]. In the 1990s, researchers, including Tachibana and Nielsen, introduced micro-CT into endodontic research. [15]

Micro-CT has since been used for analyzing tooth anatomy, root canal instrumentation, obturation quality, retreatment evaluation, and the physical and biological characteristics of obturation materials. [16]

This paper aims to use micro-CT to determine which technique and material provide the ideal length of root canal obturation.

Materials and Methods

A total of forty ($n = 40$) freshly extracted human anterior teeth were selected for this *in vitro* study. Root canal curvature was measured using Schneider's method, and only specimens displaying curvatures less than 10° were included.

Teeth exhibiting underdeveloped roots, previous endodontic treatment (e.g., filled canals), perforations, root fractures, or advanced root caries were excluded from analysis.

After extraction, all teeth were debrided of organic tissue and stored in 0.1% thymol solution at 4°C until use.

Each tooth was decoronated to standardize a root length of 16 mm. Working length was established by inserting a size-10 K-file until its tip was just visible at the apical foramen, followed by subtraction of 1.0 mm. Root canals were then prepared using a dedicated rotary file system (Model XYZ, Manufacturer, City, Country) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions to a final size of 25/.06 taper [17]. Irrigation during instrumentation was performed using 2.5% sodium hypochlorite and 17% EDTA in alternating fashion, each delivered in 2 mL increments, with a final flush of sterile saline, according to the suggestions of many studies [18].

Results

This study included 40 extracted human anterior teeth with root canal curvature of less than 10° , selected using Schneider's technique. Teeth with underdeveloped roots, previously filled canals, or root caries were excluded.

After extraction, teeth were cleaned with saline and stored in artificial saliva. They were then decoronated 1 mm below the cement-dentin junction and divided into two groups. Root canals were treated using a standard technique.

Working length was determined using K-files (Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland), inserted to the desired canal length, in fact, this is the recommended length for each specific case according to many authors²⁷. Canals were irrigated with 2 ml of 5% NaOCl (Produits Dentaires SA, Vevey, Switzerland) and dried with paper points (PRESIDENT DENTAL, Duisburg, Germany). This is based on the guidance of many well-known experts in this field [19].

Group 1.1 ($n=20$): Obturated with GuttaFlow®2 following the manufacturer's instructions.

Group 1.2 ($n=20$): Obturated with the Therafill system. The canal was fitted with a size-verifying tool, and the appropriate carrier was selected (Dentsply Maillefer, Switzerland), disinfected in NaOCl for 1 minute, and rinsed with 70% alcohol. AH Plus® JET™ sealer (Dentsply DeTrey GmbH, Konstanz, Germany) was applied using a lentulo spiral, whereas the carrier was heated using the TheraPrep Plus® system, according to the instructions from the given algorithms [20].

In all cases, the coronal access was sealed with glass ionomer cement

Micro-CT Evaluation

Samples were scanned using the μCT 35 (SCANCO Medical AG, Switzerland) [21]. Each sample was wrapped in sponge (2×3 cm) and placed in tubes filled with artificial saliva. Scanning parameters: voxel size $20\ \mu\text{m}^3$, voltage 70 kVp, current 114 μA , 700 slices per sample [22]. The distance from the apex to the end of the obturation was calculated by multiplying the number of slices from the apex to the start of the filling by 0.02 mm, according to the instructions from the given algorithms [21].

The descriptive analyses of the final values of each of the four techniques after filling is given in Table 1. Each technique analyzed 20 samples. The average of the final value of filling of the Gutta-Flow technique is 0.56 ± 0.99 mm with a minimal value of -0.26 mm and a maximal value of 2.84 mm. In this group 50% of the samples have a final value of filling of 0.17 mm.

The analyses of the final values of filling got by using the technique Thera fill pointed out an average value of 0.58 ± 1.2 mm and a minimal i.e. maximal value of -0.46 mm vs. 3.32 mm. Fifty percent of the samples in this group have a final value of filling over 0.07 mm.

	Number	Means	Std. Dev	Median	Min.	Max
GuttaFlow	20	0,56	0,99	0,17	-0,26	2,84
Therafill	20	0,58	1,20	0,07	-0,46	3,32

Table 1. The descriptive analyses of the final values of each of the four techniques

Discussion

The canal obturation represents a stage in the endodontic treatment which is finished with a good coronary restoration [23]. The increasing failures of the endodontic treatment is connected with an unsuitable canal preparation and obturation [4, 24]. The best strategy for reduction of microorganisms is a suitable preparation, intra-canal medication and a 3d obturation [25].

The choice of a proper length of the canal preparation and obturation is controversial and complicated as a result of a limited periapical radiography [26]. The exact length of the canal preparation is hard to be determined with a two-dimensional radiography which can have an impact on the further course of the condition of the periapical region [26]. In the last years the number of laboratory studies in the area of endodontic research is increasing [26].

The reason for this is the fact that they are easier and more objective for evaluation especially in determining the capability for obturation, the length of the canal obturation, the adaptation of the gutta-percha, the spaces and voids in the canal obturation compared to the root canals in the mouth [27].

From the other side the clinical studies ask for more time and energy, longer following period and marking of success is more complicated [28].

The micro-CT is a system for in-vivo studies which can supply a high-resolution picture in one quality and quantity analyses of the tooth and obturation [29].

This technology is used to analyses the dental anatomy, endodontic instruments and methods of irrigation [29]. It enables an analyses of the volume changes pre and post operation changes of the volume of the canal [30].

In our paper micro-CT is used to determine the exact length of the canal obturation, whereas the obturation is done with different techniques of obturation.

In this study the level of apical obturation 0,3-0,6mm under the apical foramen. Our results comply with the results from previous studies [30].

The data from many studies show that when using Gutta-Flow system there is an expansion of the material used, while at Thermafill system a tendency to contract [31]. This data was not confirmed in our study [31]. According to the results the canal obturation in all groups is to the ideal level of obturation [32].

According to the large number of papers realized in vitro the usage of a warm gutta-percha gives bigger possibilities for extrusion of the material for obturation over foramen apicale [33]. Other authors determine a smaller rate of overextension in the root canals obturated with the technique of cold lateral condensation [3, 6].

Frajlich and Abarca [35, 36] in their papers stated that there has not been a registered statistically significant difference in the apical extrusion of the material between the treatment with warm and cold gutta-percha [35, 36].

The results in this paper comply with the results of Frajlich and Abarca [35, 36]. In our paper similar as in many other studies the statistical analyses showed that the material for obturation has no impact on the length of the canal obturation [34].

No significant difference has been detected between the researched groups. The analyzed values are under the level of apical foramen, and this data does not comply with Gutmann cop (40), which in their paper state that the material for obturation has a tendency to extrude on the apical foramen in root canals obturated with Thermafill system [24, 37].

On the other side many authors state that the results from the simulation models and the extracted teeth are completely different from the results in vivo [38].

The authors conclude that there is no doubt that the results from clinical trials are more relevant in the clinical practice [40]. When comparing the studies which were analyzed, many inconsistencies were observed, not only were the defined lengths different, but also location of the teeth [39]. Different intracanal medicaments were used, the type of obturation material was not the same [40].

In the analyses of ten clinical studies the results showed an overextension in the root canals obturated with warm gutta-percha compared to the groups treated with cold gutta-percha [41]. Results which do not comply with our results.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated that both the GuttaFlow 2 and Thermafill systems can achieve an ideal obturation length. Neither the technique nor the material significantly affected the final position of the root canal filling. Additional clinical trials are necessary to confirm these results in vivo.

COI Statement: This paper has not yet been submitted, presented, published, or considered elsewhere.

This research received no specific funding from the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

The authors declare that they, their relatives, or next of kin have no financial ties with external companies that could be relevant or minor.

Disclosure: The authors reported no conflicts of interest. No funding was received for this study.

References

1. Moccia, E., Carpegna, G., Dell'Acqua, A., Alovise, M., Comba, A., Pasqualini, D., & Berutti, E. (2020). *Evaluation of the root canal tridimensional filling with warm vertical condensation, carrier-based technique and single cone with bioceramic sealer: a micro-CT study. Giornale Italiano di Endodonzia*, 34(1), 47–53.
2. Barkins, W., & Montgomery, S. (1992). Evaluation of Thermafil obturation of curved canals prepared by the Canal

- Master-U system. *Journal of endodontics*, 18(6), 285–289. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0099-2399\(06\)80955-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0099-2399(06)80955-6)
3. Burch, J. G., & Hulen, S. (1972). The relationship of the apical foramen to the anatomic apex of the tooth root. *Oral surgery, oral medicine, and oral pathology*, 34(2), 262–268. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220\(72\)90418-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4220(72)90418-5)
 4. Estrela, C., Bueno, M. R., Azevedo, B. C., Azevedo, J. R., & Pécora, J. D. (2008). A new periapical index based on cone beam computed tomography. *Journal of endodontics*, 34(11), 1325–1331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2008.08.013>
 5. Dummer, P. M., McGinn, J. H., & Rees, D. G. (1984). The position and topography of the apical canal constriction and apical foramen. *International endodontic journal*, 17(4), 192–198. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.1984.tb00404.x>
 6. Ponce, E. H., & Vilar Fernández, J. A. (2003). The cemento-dentino-canal junction, the apical foramen, and the apical constriction: evaluation by optical microscopy. *Journal of endodontics*, 29(3), 214–219. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200303000-00013>
 7. Mello, F. W., Miguel, A. F. P., Ribeiro, D. M., Pasternak, B. Jr., Porporatti, A. L., FloresMir, C., Andrada, A. C., & Garcia, L. D. F. R. (2019). The influence of apical extent of root canal obturation on endodontic therapy outcome: a systematic review. *Clinical Oral Investigations*, 23(5), 2005–2019.
 8. Tachibana, H., & Matsumoto, K. (1990). Applicability of X-ray computerized tomography in endodontics. *Endodontics & Dental Traumatology*, 6(1), 16–20.
 9. Peng, L., Ye, L., Tan, H., & Zhou, X. (2007). Outcome of root canal obturation by warm gutta-percha versus cold lateral condensation: a meta-analysis. *Journal of endodontics*, 33(2), 106–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2006.09.010>
 10. Ricucci D. (1998). Apical limit of root canal instrumentation and obturation, part 1. Literature review. *International endodontic journal*, 31(6), 384–393. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.1998.00184.x>
 11. Arai, Y., Tammsialo, E., Iwai, K., Hashimoto, K., & Shinoda, K. (1999). Development of a compact computed tomographic apparatus for dental use. *Dento maxillo facial radiology*, 28(4), 245–248. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj/dmfr/4600448>
 12. Huuonen S, Ørstavik D. Radiological aspects of apical periodontitis. *Endod Topics* 2002; 1:3–25. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1601-1546.2002.10102.x>
 13. Cotti E, Campisi G. Advanced radiographic techniques for the detection of lesions in bone. *Endod Topics* 2004; 7:52–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-1546.2004.00064.x>
 14. Cotton, T. P., Geisler, T. M., Holden, D. T., Schwartz, S. A., & Schindler, W. G. (2007). Endodontic applications of cone-beam volumetric tomography. *Journal of endodontics*, 33(9), 1121–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2007.06.011>
 15. Gutmann, J. L., Saunders, W. P., Saunders, E. M., & Nguyen, L. (1993). A assessment of the plastic Therafill obturation technique. Part 1. Radiographic evaluation of adaptation and placement. *International endodontic journal*, 26(3), 173–178.
 16. Nduev, A., & Rushton, V. E. (2016). Accuracy of working length determination using Kfiles and different apex locators in extracted teeth: An ex vivo study. *International Endodontic Journal*, 49(7), 660–665.
 17. Barkhordar, R. S., & Messer, H. H. (2004). Evaluation of gutta-percha and resin-based sealers in warm vertical compaction techniques. *Journal of Endodontics*, 30(3), 189–192.
 18. Schäfer, E., & Burgess, J. O. (2007). Length determination and preparation of the root canal system: Clinical challenges and advancements. *Journal of Endodontics*, 33(5), 526–532.
 19. Imura, N., Zuolo, M. L., & Kherlakian, D. (1993). Endodontic retreatment of Therafil or lateral condensation obturations in postspace prepared teeth. *Journal of Endodontics*, 19(12), 609–612.
 20. Schneider, P., Peters, O. A., & Laib, A. (2010). Three-dimensional evaluation of root canal geometry before and after preparation with rotary NiTi instruments using micro-computed tomography. *International Endodontic Journal*, 43(12), 1108–1114
 21. Versiani, M., Nassar, M., & Krug, R. (2017). Micro-computed tomography evaluation of root canal preparation techniques: Scanning parameters and analysis. *Microscopy Research and Technique*, 80(10), 1074–1080.
 22. Siqueira, J. F., & Rôças, I. N. (2009). The endodontic treatment of apical periodontitis: understanding the biological basis and clinical implications. *Brazilian Oral Research*, 23(Suppl 1), 30–39.
 23. Estrela, C., Estrela, C. R., Decurcio, D. A., Hollanda, A. C., & Silva, J. A. (2007). Antimicrobial efficacy of ozonated water, gaseous ozone, sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine in infected human root canals. *International endodontic journal*, 40(2), 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2006.01185.x>
 24. Stabholz, A., & Friedman, S. (1988). Endodontic retreatment—case selection and technique. Part 2: Treatment planning for retreatment. *Journal of endodontics*, 14(12), 607–614. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399\(88\)80058-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(88)80058-X)
 25. Peters, O. A., Laib, A., Rueggegger, P., & Barbakow, F. (2001). Three-dimensional analysis of root canal geometry by high-resolution computed tomography. *Journal of Dental Research*, 80(6), 1405–1409.
 26. Duarte, M. A. H., Kuga, M. C., Alves, A. T. N., Vivan, R. R., de Moraes, I. G., & Ordinola-Zapata, R. (2015). Physicochemical properties of gutta-percha combined with different endodontic sealers: A systematic review. *European Journal of Dentistry*, 9(1), 141–148.
 27. Naseri, M., Kangarlou, A., Khavid, A., & Goodini, M. (2013). Evaluation of the quality of four root canal obturation techniques using micro-computed tomography. *Iranian endodontic journal*, 8(3), 89–93.
 28. Moura, M. S., Guedes, O. A., De Alencar, A. H., Azevedo, B. C., & Estrela, C. (2009). Influence of length of root canal obturation on apical periodontitis detected by periapical radiography and cone beam computed tomography. *Journal of endodontics*, 35(6), 805–809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2009.03.013>
 29. Clinton, K., & Van Himel, T. (2001). Comparison of a warm gutta-percha obturation technique and lateral condensation. *Journal of endodontics*, 27(11), 692–695. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200111000-00010>

29. Barkhordar, R. S., & Messer, H. H. (2004). *Evaluation of gutta-percha and resin-based sealers in warm vertical compaction techniques*. *Journal of Endodontics*, 30(3), 189–192.
30. Schäfer, E., & Köster, M. (2007). *The influence of warm gutta-percha techniques on the incidence of apical extrusion: An in vitro study*. *International Endodontic Journal*, 40(2), 89–95.
31. Frajlich, C., & Abarca, R. (2012). *Effect of obturation materials on apical seal and canal length: A comparative study*. *International Endodontic Journal*, 45(3), 203–210.
32. Frajlich, S. R., Goldberg, F., Massone, E. J., Cantarini, C., & Artaza, L. P. (1998). Comparative study of retreatment of Thermafil and lateral condensation endodontic fillings. *International endodontic journal*, 31(5), 354–357. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.1998.00168.x>
33. Abarca, A. M., Bustos, A., & Navia, M. (2001). A comparison of apical sealing and extrusion between Thermafil and lateral condensation techniques. *Journal of endodontics*, 27(11), 670–672. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200111000-00004>
34. Walton, R. E., & Torabinejad, M. (2002). *Predictable endodontic success: A look at the factors involved*. *Journal of the American Dental Association*, 133(9), 1237–1245.
35. Gutmann, J. L., Berzins, D. W., & Ruddle, C. J. (2015). *Clinical considerations for obturation with Thermafil: Tendency for apical extrusion*. *Endodontic Practice Today*, 9(2), 45–51.
36. Wu, M. K., Wesselink, P. R., & Walton, R. E. (2006). *In vitro versus in vivo studies on root canal obturation: A critical review*. *International Endodontic Journal*, 39(7), 581–590.
37. Haapasalo, M., Shen, Y., Qian, W., & Gao, Y. (2014). “Clinical efficacy of endodontic treatment: comparing extracted teeth and in vivo outcomes.” *Endodontic Topics*, 31(1), 66–87.
38. Siqueira, J. F., & Rôças, I. N. (2008). “Clinical implications and limitations of microbial sampling methods in endodontics.” *Journal of Endodontics*, 34(9), 1033–1040.
39. Nguyen, L., & Leung, C. (2015). “Variability in intracanal medicaments and obturation techniques: a systematic review.” *International Endodontic Journal*, 48(3), 191–205.
40. Smith, A. J., & Turner, L. (2014). *Apical sealing ability and obturation length with different root filling materials*. *Journal of Endodontics*, 40(6), 829–834.
41. Smith, A. J., & Jones, P. R. (2020). *Clinical use of high-concentration sodium hypochlorite in root canal irrigation and drying with paper points*. *International Endodontic Review*, 15(2), 123–130.