ALBANIAN JOURNAL OF TRAUMA AND EMERGENCY SURGERY
Volume 10 | Issue 2 | July 2026
Pages: 2118-2125
DOI: https://doi.org/10.32391/ajtes.v10i2.498

OPEN ACCESS JOURNALS

REVIEW ARTICLE

Revolutionizing Prehospital Emergency Medical Services: The Role, Challenges, and Potential of Smartphone Integration. A Literature Review

Clint Ashley Sauls[1], Farai Nyika[2]*

[1] Regent Business School, Durban, South Africa

[2] MANCOSA, Durban, South Africa

Corresponding Author: Farai Nyika MD
MANCOSA, Durban, South Africa
Email: f.d.nyika@gmail.com
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9759-7007

Received: 03 October 2025 • Accepted: 21 January 2026 • Published online: 20 July 2026

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The integration of smartphone technology into Emergency Medical Services (EMS) represents a paradigm shift in prehospital care, offering novel solutions to enhance clinical decision-making and operational efficiency. However, the implementation of these mobile health (mHealth) tools remains inconsistent, particularly in resource-constrained settings.
Objective: This literature review examines the utilization of smartphones in EMS, evaluating their impact on remote consultations, data access, and inter-agency communication while identifying critical barriers to adoption.
Methods: Employing a qualitative approach, this study utilized content analysis and a desktop review of journal articles and book chapters published between 2020 and 2024.
Results: The review highlights significant benefits, including improved patient outcomes, streamlined workflows, and enhanced coordination between EMS personnel and receiving hospitals. Furthermore, smartphones demonstrate considerable potential for continuous professional development through virtual simulations. However, widespread integration is hindered by systemic challenges, including infrastructure deficits, organizational resistance, inconsistent training, and data security vulnerabilities. These issues are particularly acute in rural and underserved regions.
Conclusion: To fully realize the potential of smartphones in EMS, stakeholders must prioritize the standardization of operational protocols and investment in robust infrastructure. Additionally, developing comprehensive training frameworks is essential to ensure equitable access, mitigate security risks, and optimize the effectiveness of smartphone-based tools in prehospital care.
Keywords: Telemedicine, Operational Efficiency, Data Security, Training Frameworks.

How to cite this article:
Sauls, C. A. & Nyika, F., (2026). Revolutionizing prehospital emergency medical services: The role, challenges, and potential of smartphone integration: A literature review. Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery, 10(2), 2118-2125. https://doi.org/10.32391/ajtes.v10i2.498

OPEN ACCESS

This article is published with Open Access at journal.astes.org.al.
© The Author(s) 2026. The Albanian Journal of Trauma and Emergency Surgery (AJTES) is an Open Access journal. All articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution–NonCommercial License (CC BY-NC), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Licence: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

INTRODUCTION

Smartphone technology has transformed conventional practices in a variety of industries, including prehospital emergency management. As smartphones become more prevalent, emergency medical services (EMS) have begun to utilise this technology to improve patient care outcomes, communication, and operational efficiency (1,2)

The Fourth Industrial Revolution is influenced by technology, notably in the context of the (3,4). There is a significant body of research on the application of smartphones in a variety of healthcare contexts worldwide, but their use in prehospital emergency management is largely unexplored. Existing research on smartphone integration has primarily concentrated on patient monitoring, telemedicine, and clinical decision support in hospital contexts, all of which necessitate specialised medical knowledge (5). Though these studies provide valuable insights into the capabilities and potential of smartphone technology, a substantial knowledge deficit persists regarding the effective utilisation of these devices in the frequently chaotic and time-sensitive prehospital care environment.

A literature review of smartphone applications in prehospital EMS is provided below. Our primary objective is to evaluate a diverse array of peer-reviewed academic documents from 2020 to 2024 and emphasise the primary benefits, limitations, and implementation challenges of smartphone technology. To facilitate the discussion, we categorise the paper's findings into themes. This literature review is significant in that it compiles and summarises the growing body of knowledge regarding the integration of smartphone technology into EMS. This offers a unique perspective on the way this technology is revolutionising the healthcare industry.

Theoretical framework

Two important theoretical frameworks, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) Theory, provide the theoretical groundwork for this research.

- Technology Acceptance Model (TAM): This model focuses on how people decide to use technology (6). It suggests that people are more likely to use something if they believe it is useful and easy to use (7).
- Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) Theory: This theory explains how modern technologies spread within a group (8). It considers factors like how beneficial the technology is, how well it fits with existing work practices, how complex it is to use, how easy it is to try it out, and how visible its benefits are (9).

METHODOLOGY

This paper's methodology is well-grounded in qualitative research methods, drawing on content analysis and an extensive desktop literature study. Journal articles and chapters from scholarly books published between 2020 and 2024 are reviewed in the study. The study is relevant and rigorous since it relies on current literature, which reflects the most recent developments and trends in smartphone usage in prehospital settings. By using this approach, we can better comprehend the advantages and disadvantages of adoption.

Current forms of smartphone integration in prehospital emergency management

Smartphone technology has become increasingly essential in the context of prehospital EMS, as it facilitates real-time communication, data access, and coordination between EMS personnel and hospitals. EMS workflows are being transformed by mobile applications, which enable real-time patient monitoring and medication dosage calculations, thereby facilitating more efficient and effective emergency management (Rinkinen et al., 2024).(10)

For example, teleconsultation capabilities enable paramedics to consult specialists remotely during critical cases, such as strokes and myocardial infarctions, resulting in enhanced patient outcomes. Smartphone applications can facilitate clinical decision-making by offering real-time access to clinical protocols and guidelines through teleconsultation (11-13).

Su and Quinn (2024) (14) found that the door-to-needle times in prehospital stroke management were reduced by smartphone-based systems, which in turn improved treatment success rates. This underscores the potential of mobile technology to simplify prehospital procedures, thereby addressing the imperative need for rapid and precise interventions, in addition to its role in facilitating patient care.

The updating of smartphone protocols allows EMS teams to remain informed about the most recent procedures and interventions, which is a source of relevance in healthcare environments that are constantly evolving (15). Personnel on the ground in a high-stakes environment should be informed and the decisions made in the process should be timely (16). Furthermore, smartphones enable the accurate documentation and monitoring of patient information, such as vital signs and interventions performed on the patient, to ensure the continuity of care and to provide a record of the activities that were conducted legally (17)

Impact of Smartphone Integration on Operational Efficiency and Patient Outcomes

By facilitating communication, data sharing, and decision-making processes, the integration of smartphones into EMS structures has significantly improved operational efficiency and patient outcomes (18). Research has demonstrated that the utilisation of a smartphone reduces the response time of EMS, thereby improving the quality of patient care (19,20,21).

Feroz et al. (2020) (18) emphasised this capability, suggesting that real-time data collection by mHealth applications facilitates more efficient decision-making and more well-coordinated patient care. *Abreu et al.* (2022) (22), equally determined that the operational efficacy of EMS is enhanced by the timely exchange of data. Additionally, smartphones offer immediate access to clinical references, which aid in the on-site decision-making process and the adherence to current guidelines in the frequently high-pressure environment of EMS (23).

These technologies allow EMS professionals to communicate directly with hospital-based experts, thereby enhancing the likelihood of favourable outcomes and providing personalised care to patients in critical situations (24).

During transport, patients' vital signs can be continuously monitored by smartphones, wearable sensors, and devices connected to EMS monitors. The real-time data produced by these technologies enables paramedics to respond promptly when required. *Moses et al.* (2021) (23) underscores the fact that remote monitoring substantially enhances patient outcomes, particularly in cardiovascular events where timely intervention is essential. The capacity to monitor critical metrics, including heart rate and oxygen saturation, during transport has transformed emergency care, guaranteeing that any deterioration in the patient's condition is promptly identified and appropriately addressed (23)

During the COVID-19 pandemic, telehealth and remote care platforms have also experienced substantial growth (25,26). By optimising healthcare resources, minimising the necessity for physical hospital visits, and reducing exposure risks, these platforms have transformed patient care (27).

Furthermore, intelligent hospital management systems utilise blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and the Internet of Things (IoT) to improve operational efficiency, optimise equipment usage, and streamline workflows, thereby enhancing the reliability of hospital infrastructure (28). AI also plays a transformative role in healthcare by supporting predictive analytics, enhancing diagnostic accuracy, and increasing patient engagement (29).

Challenges in Smartphone Integration: Training, Infrastructure, and Resistance

Despite the advantages of smartphones in EMS, the pervasive integration of these devices is impeded by a variety of challenges. These consist of resistance to the adoption of new technology, inconsistent training, and inadequate infrastructure—particularly in rural areas (14,30). For example, the utilisation of real-time data and teleconsultations is frequently impeded by the absence of consistent internet access for paramedics in rural or underserved areas (31). In addition, the incoherent utilisation of smartphone tools in various EMS systems can have a detrimental effect on patient outcomes due to the variability in protocols (32,33).

A further obstacle is the absence of consistent training for EMS personnel in the use of smartphones during high-stress situations, which can result in delays or errors in judgement. It is challenging to integrate smartphone technology into EMS practice in the absence of standardised training programs (34,35).

Smartphone adoption is also significantly impeded by resistance to new technologies, particularly among experienced staff who are habituated to traditional workflows (22). The transition from paper-based workflows to digital systems can present operational challenges, as some EMS personnel may struggle to adjust to digital tools in emergency settings, potentially jeopardising patient care.

Data security is an additional critical concern. Data integrity and compatibility are further complicated by the interoperability between EMS systems and mobile applications. Incompatible software or disparate data formats may result in errors or delays, which could have a detrimental impact on patient outcomes (24). A high level of cybersecurity is necessary to ensure the preservation of sensitive information and, most importantly, secure compliance with health information exchange regulations, as patient data will be transmitted via smartphones (22). Regulatory bodies may establish more explicit cybersecurity guidelines for the use of handsets by EMS in order to ensure patient confidentiality and compliance in regard to these issues.

In the future, AI-enabled mHealth applications have the potential to further improve the efficacy of EMS (28). These sophisticated tools are capable of analysing large volumes of data in real time, providing EMS professionals with support by recommending treatment options that are tailored to the changing conditions (18).

The integration of such AI-driven capabilities could significantly improve EMS operations, particularly in high-stress scenarios that necessitate rapid, data-informed decisions.

Additionally, crucial concerns include data confidentiality and security. In order to guarantee compliance with data protection regulations, it is necessary to implement comprehensive cybersecurity measures for the transmission of sensitive patient information via smartphones (34). The rapid evolution of smartphone technology necessitates frequent updates to software and hardware, which further strains EMS organisations financially, particularly in low-income regions (5). These challenges are exacerbated by the perpetual necessity for retraining and budgetary constraints.

In addition, the automation of administrative duties, such as data reporting and patient monitoring, through smartphone applications further reduces the workload of EMS carers, thereby improving operational efficiency

(18). Nevertheless, *Buchanan et al.* (2023) (36) and other researchers have demonstrated that their implementation is impeded by numerous challenges, particularly in low-resource regions. The functionality of smartphones is reduced in rural areas due to poor infrastructure and limited connectivity, and the variable adoption of smartphone applications is a result of inconsistencies in training among EMS personnel (36).

Socioeconomic and Regional Disparities in Smartphone Integration

Especially in low-income and rural areas, where EMS resources are scarce, there is a substantial disparity between financial resources and infrastructure (37). These discrepancies emphasise the necessity of targeted interventions to guarantee that all EMS teams have equal access to smartphone technology (38). For example, the expansion of smartphone-enabled EMS to remote regions could be achieved through investments in affordable connectivity solutions. Furthermore, it is imperative to guarantee that EMS teams have equal access to smartphone-based tools in order to mitigate regional disparities in patient outcomes and healthcare delivery (28).

Nevertheless, there are numerous obstacles to complete adoption. Poor infrastructure, particularly in rural areas, is one of the most significant obstacles, as it impedes the expeditious use of smartphone applications due to limited connectivity(30). The care quality disparity between urban and rural settings is further exacerbated by the use of outmoded hardware, as EMS teams in resource-poor regions frequently lack access to the latest smartphones and application technologies (34).

An additional impediment to the full-scale integration of smartphones in EMS is the presence of regulatory inconsistencies. Safety and liability concerns arise because of the absence of standardised guidelines for medical applications in all countries (40).To cultivate trust among emergency medical services (EMS) organisations, it is imperative to establish comprehensive frameworks that prioritise user safety, application performance, and data privacy (41).

Facilitating Clinical Education and Training

Smartphones are not only essential for the provision of emergency care, but they also significantly contribute to the ongoing education and training of EMS professionals, as explained by *Wang et al.* (2020) (42).It is unfeasible to anticipate that paramedics or emergency technicians will remain informed about the evolving best practices and clinical protocols, given the increasing complexity of medical care (43).

A diverse array of educational resources, including virtual simulations and medical reference applications, are accessible through smartphones, which assist EMS personnel in the training of various procedures. Mobile learning is indispensable for continuous professional development due to the rapid tempo of emergency medicine, which consistently introduces new techniques and knowledge (43).

For instance, the effectiveness of smartphone applications that incorporate virtual simulation exercises in the consolidation of the knowledge and skills of emergency medical services personnel has been particularly noteworthy. In virtual environments, EMS personnel can simulate emergency procedures and clinical decision-making without the threat of real-world repercussions. Research has shown that the confidence and competence of EMS workers, particularly novice professionals, can be improved using such training aids (44).

Disadvantages of Smartphone Technology in EMS

The use of smartphones in EMS is associated with significant hazards; despite the numerous advantages they offer. Research has demonstrated that non-work-related activities, particularly social media, can reduce productivity and elevate error rates in critical EMS tasks (45). Accordingly, the potential for distractions is increased by the erosion of lines between professional and personal smartphone use. Furthermore, smartphone addiction can disrupt concentration, thereby jeopardising the provision of high-quality patient care and the timeliness of decision-making.

Training Needs Assessment for Emergency Medical Personnel

De Jong et al. (2020) (46) have identified substantial deficiencies in the utilisation of both computer-aided dispatch and integrated dispatch-prehospital care information management systems. Researchers recommended that multidisciplinary teams, particularly frontline emergency workers, prioritise training and continuous development activities to optimise their utilisation of handsets in EMS. Specifically, the research will identify the training requirements that will improve the efficiency of emergency medical services personnel (46).

The initial step in the development of an effective training program is to execute a comprehensive training needs assessment. The purpose of this evaluation is to determine the discrepancy between the competencies necessary for the secure integration of devices and the current skills of employees. It is consistent with the overarching objectives of operational efficiency and enhanced patient care by customising the training program to address specific areas for improvement (47).

Ensure that the training program is reflective of the real-world requirements of EMS personnel in various settings by employing self-administered

surveys to collect data from a diverse and large group of participants in rural and urban regions (48). Scenario-based exercises that are hands-on at each level replicate real-life emergencies, thereby ensuring experiential learning. Smartphone technology will be learnt and strategically applied by respondents (49). Participants will have the opportunity to employ their skills in mobile technology-enhanced scenarios and tabletop exercises that reinforce both practical knowledge and device proficiency during a conference-style session that concludes the training (48).

EMS personnel are trained in the fundamental components of this structured approach, which allows them to effectively use handsets to improve emergency response efforts. In high-stress, prehospital environments, this comprehensive training equips first responders to enhance communication, decision-making, and operational efficiency using smartphones. This training results in improved patient outcomes in emergency management (49,50).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Enhancing Smartphone Integration in Emergency Medical Services

Troyer and Brady (2020) (51) emphasise that inadequate training in digital tools is associated with inadequate information transmission during patient handovers, which may result in clinical errors. To resolve this matter, they promote the implementation of standardised training programs that concentrate on patient transition procedures and digital communication, thereby guaranteeing the accurate and timely exchange of information. Ultimately, this would improve the quality of patient treatment.

Hill et al. (2021) (52) suggest a professional learning model that incorporates smartphone tools in both cognitive and emotional dimensions, with the objective of enhancing the confidence and competence of EMS personnel in the use of mobile health technology.

Cimino and Braun (2023) (17) provide additional support for this approach, promoting the integration of telemedicine technologies at the system level to improve prehospital communication and decision-making, particularly in complex cases.

Kowark et al. (2023) (53) observed that telemedicine can substantially reduce error margins in critical emergencies, if there is reliable connectivity and robust infrastructure. These recommendations are consistent with their findings.

Another critical factor in accommodating the evolving requirements of EMS is technological adaptability. Maria (2021) (54) emphasises the significance of adaptability in the clinical reasoning of paramedics, stating that systems should be designed to be consistent with current clinical protocols. This alignment facilitates the formulation of contextually relevant decisions during patient assessments and interventions. *Kumar et al.* (2023) (20) further assert that a mobile health system should not only monitor patients but also anticipate their requirements, thereby enabling EMS to adopt a proactive care model that ensures high-quality service delivery.

Furthermore, it is imperative to guarantee that rural EMS teams have equitable access to telemedical support from a team of experts. *Kowark et al.* (2023) (53) underscore the necessity of bridging operational gaps in underserved areas to prevent rural EMS teams from being disadvantaged.

Maria (2021) (54), and *Cimino and Braun (2023)* (17) also emphasise the importance of consistent protocol updates via smartphones to guarantee that EMS teams can effectively address emergent medical trends and new technologies. To facilitate the seamless integration of EMS practices, user-friendly smartphone designs should support both fundamental and advanced functionalities without overwhelming responders.

User Experience and Usability Factors in Prehospital Emergency Management

The integration of smartphone technology, particularly in high-stress, fast-paced environments such as EMS, places substantial demands on user experience (UX) and usability. The efficient, accurate, and safe execution of critical duties by EMS personnel is contingent upon the presence of these factors. Usability is comprehensively defined as the convenience, efficiency, and satisfaction with which users' complete tasks within a system. It is a critical factor in the reduction of errors and the improvement of patient outcomes.

According to *Ranzani and Parlangeli (2021)*(55), usability in digital healthcare applications is not limited to ordinary functionality; it also includes critical components of user-centred design, cognitive ergonomics, and interface clarity, all of which influence performance in high-stakes scenarios. Research emphasises the importance of intuitive interface design, plain navigation, and minimal steps to access essential functions as critical usability factors in healthcare applications.

Lewis and Sauro (2021) (56) have conducted research that confirms the effectiveness of simplified and accessible designs in improving decision-making speed, reducing cognitive burden, and enhancing user interactions, all of which are essential in EMS.

These results are further supported by the insights of *Pal et al.* (2023)(57) who underscore the significance of user-centred design (UCD) in the development of applications that accommodate the diverse skill levels of EMS personnel. UCD enhances the functionality and utility of smartphone applications in emergency

circumstances by concentrating on the user's requirements and consistently refining applications based on iterative feedback. UX is essential in the functional and emotional aspects of interactions in high-stress scenarios where EMS personnel must act swiftly.

User experience (UX) is influenced by factors such as trust, confidence, and convenience, which directly affect user satisfaction and sustained engagement with the technology (58). *Lewis and Sauro (2021)* (56) emphasise that a well-designed UX can increase confidence in the system's reliability, thereby nurturing a positive perception and allowing EMS personnel to perform critical tasks more efficiently. Trust in the application's reliability is essential in prehospital emergency settings, as improperly designed interfaces can result in delays and potential errors that could affect patient outcomes.

In addition to the interface design, environmental factors, including noise, illumination, and movement, contribute to the overall complexity. These applications must be highly visible, responsive, and accessible under diverse environmental constraints, as emergency responders frequently utilise smartphones in challenging physical conditions.

Ranzani and Parlangeli (2021) (55) note that interface modifications, including the enlargement of icons and the reduction of glare, can enhance usability, thereby facilitating the rapid access and entry of data by EMS personnel during emergencies. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) offers a framework for comprehending usability and UX factors, positing that the likelihood of adoption and continued use among EMS staff is substantially influenced by perceived ease of use and usefulness.

The significance of iterative design and regular updates to address usability challenges ensures compatibility with evolving technological standards, while usability testing in simulated EMS environments enables developers to refine interfaces to meet specific requirements.

As noted by *Pal et al. (2023),* (57) the incorporation of A/B testing and usability testing into development processes assists in the early identification of design defects, thereby reducing the likelihood of error during actual use. These iterative enhancements enhance real-time data accuracy and reduce cognitive burden, thereby contributing to both operational efficiency and patient safety. The literature underscores the necessity of a comprehensive approach to usability and UX in EMS, which includes user-centred design, cognitive ergonomics, environmental adaptation, and iterative refinement, to achieve effective smartphone integration. When applications are developed to satisfy these criteria.

CONCLUSION

The transformative impact of smartphones and mHealth technologies in both in-hospital and prehospital emergency management was identified in this literature review. The research on these technologies has demonstrated an improvement in patient outcomes, operational efficiency, and communication during critical emergencies. mHealth applications have indeed been beneficial in the support of telemedicine, the calculation of medication dosages, and the preservation of patient data, even in the most remote areas. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the value of real-time patient surveillance and disease prevention in the field of public health was demonstrated. This became evident. This study suggests that the integration of a smartphone into prehospital emergency management will provide benefits for the emergency team and paramedics, such as enhanced data exchange and decision-making. However, there are still significant obstacles in the areas of data security, reliability, and standardisation. Although effective, the majority of mHealth integrations in prehospital settings have yet to be thoroughly researched; therefore, their potential to enhance EMS should be further explored.

Ethical Consideration

Review articles are exempted from an institutional review board (IRB)/Ethical approval.

Acknowledgments: The author expresses sincere gratitude to the coauthor whose scientific contributions to form the foundation of this study.

Author Contributions: FN contributed to all aspects of the study, including conceptualization, methodology, analysis, and manuscript preparation. ACS - contributed to the methodology of study. FN - contributed to the analysis, and manuscript preparation. Both authors contributed equally to the intellectual content, reviewed, and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Funding: No external funding was received for this study.

REFERENCES

1. Alekseeva, D., Ometov, A., Arponen, O., & Lohan, E. S. (2022). The future of computing paradigms for medical and emergency applications. *Computer Science Review*, *45*, 100494.
2. Strehlow, M., Alvarez, A. A., Blomkalns, A. L., Caretta-Wyer, H., Gharahbaghian, L., Imler, D., ... & Gisondi, M. A. (2024). Precision emergency medicine. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, *31*(11), 1150-1164.
3. Hoosain, M. S., Paul, B. S., Doorsamy, W., & Ramakrishna, S. (2023). The influence of circular economy and 4IR

technologies on the climate–water–energy–food Nexus and the SDGs. *Water*, *15*(4), 787.
4. Hassani, H., Huang, X., MacFeely, S., & Entezarian, M. R. (2021). Big data and the United Nations sustainable development goals (UN SDGs) at a glance. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, *5*(3), 28.
5. Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2021). Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and applications. *Sensors international*, *2*, 100117.
6. Martín-García, A. V., Redolat, R., & Pinazo-Hernandis, S. (2022). Factors influencing intention to technological use in older adults. The TAM model application. *Research on aging*, *44*(7-8), 573-588.
7. Oyman, M., Bal, D., & Ozer, S. (2022). Extending the technology acceptance model to explain how perceived augmented reality affects consumers' perceptions. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *128*, 107127.
8. Amini, M., & Jahanbakhsh Javid, N. (2023). A multi-perspective framework established on diffusion of innovation (DOI) theory and technology, organization and environment (TOE) framework toward supply chain management system based on cloud computing technology for small and medium enterprises. Organization and Environment (TOE) Framework Toward Supply Chain Management System Based on Cloud Computing Technology for Small and Medium Enterprises (January 2023). International Journal of Information Technology and Innovation Adoption, 11, 1217-1234
9. Almaiah, M. A., Alfaisal, R., Salloum, S. A., Hajjej, F., Shishakly, R., Lutfi, A., ... & Al-Maroof, R. S. (2022). Measuring institutions' adoption of artificial intelligence applications in online learning environments: integrating the innovation diffusion theory with technology adoption rate. *Electronics*, *11*(20), 3291.
10. Rinkinen, T., Kinnula, M., & Nordquist, H. (2024). Paramedics' experiences of using information and communication technology in prehospital emergency care: A cross-sectional survey. *International Emergency Nursing*, 73, 101406
11. Pereira, C. B., Barra, D. C. C., Lanzoni, G. M. D. M., Boell, J. E. W., Sousa, P. A. F. D., & Sardo, P. M. G. (2024). Contributions of mobile applications to pre-hospital care: integrative review. Acta Paulista de Enfermagem, 37, eAPE00172.
12. Dogjani, A. (2012, October 21). TELETRAUMA as Part of the Integrated Telemedicine, from Conception to Implementation, as Additional Element in the Modern Treatment of Trauma. 20th Albanian Medico-Surgical Conference (MSC 2012), Tirana, Albania. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16418867
13. Latifi, R., Dasho, E., Shatri, Z., Tilley, E., Osmani, K. L., Doarn, C. R., Dogjani, A., Olldashi, F., Koçiraj, A., & Merrell, R. C. (2015). Telemedicine as an innovative model for rebuilding medical systems in developing countries through multipartnership collaboration: the case of Albania. *Telemedicine journal and e-health: the official journal of the American Telemedicine Association*, *21*(6), 503–509. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2014.0138
14. Su, J. S., & Quinn, E. (2023). EMS Telemedicine in the Prehospital Setting. In *StatPearls [Internet]*. StatPearls Publishing.
15. Reay, G., Norris, J. M., Nowell, L., Hayden, K. A., Yokom, K., Lang, E. S., ... & Abraham, J. (2020). Transition in care from EMS providers to emergency department nurses: a systematic review. *Prehospital emergency care*, *24*(3), 421-433.
16. Druetto, A., Aringhieri, R., Duma, D., & Guastalla, A. (2024). Dispatching strategy with lookahead for the real-time management of ambulances. In *50th Annual Meeting of the EURO Working Group on Operational Research Applied to Health Services* (pp. 20-20). Roberto Aringhieri.
17. Cimino, J., & Braun, C. (2023). Clinical Research in Prehospital Care: Current and Future Challenges. *Clinics and Practice*, *13*(5), 1266-1285.
18. Feroz, A., Jabeen, R., & Saleem, S. (2020). Using mobile phones to improve community health workers performance in low-and-middle-income countries. *BMC public health*, *20*, 1-6.
19. Scquizzato, T., Pallanch, O., Belletti, A., Frontera, A., Cabrini, L., Zangrillo, A., & Landoni, G. (2020). Enhancing citizens response to out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review of mobile-phone systems to alert citizens as first responders. *Resuscitation*, *152*, 16-25.
20. Kumar, D., Hasan, Y., & Afroz, S. (2023). Mobile health monitoring system: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, *4*(6), 1922-1954. https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.4.623.45128
21. Gabella, J. L., Gualda, I. A. P., Altoé, I. L., Beltrame, M. H. A., da Silva, P. H. A., Costa, D. B., ... & de Andrade, L. (2024). Designing, development and validation of an app to reduce the response time of the emergency medical services. *Plos one*, *19*(3), e0299828.
22. Abreu, P., Santos, D., & Barbosa-Povoa, A. (2023). Data-driven forecasting for operational planning of emergency medical services. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, *86*, 101492.
23. Moses, J. C., Adibi, S., Wickramasinghe, N., Nguyen, L., Angelova, M., & Islam, S. M. S. (2024). Non-invasive blood glucose monitoring technology in diabetes management. *Mhealth*, *10*.
24. Anawade, P. A., Sharma, D., & Gahane, S. (2024). A Comprehensive Review on Exploring the Impact of Telemedicine on Healthcare Accessibility. *Cureus*, *16*(3).
25. Jabarulla, M. Y., & Lee, H. N. (2021, August). A blockchain and artificial intelligence-based, patient-centric healthcare system for combating the COVID-19 pandemic: Opportunities and applications. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 9, No. 8, p. 1019). MDPI.
26. Bouabida, K., Lebouché, B., & Pomey, M. P. (2022, November). Telehealth and COVID-19 pandemic: an overview of the telehealth use, advantages, challenges, and opportunities during COVID-19 pandemic. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 11, p. 2293). MDPI.
27. Al-Jaroodi, J., Mohamed, N., & Abukhousa, E. (2020). Health 4.0: on the way to realizing the healthcare of the future. Ieee Access, 8, 211189-211210.
28. Lee, E. W., McCloud, R. F., & Viswanath, K. (2022). Designing effective eHealth interventions for underserved groups: five lessons from a decade of eHealth intervention design and deployment. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *24*(1), e25419.
29. Alowais, S. A., Alghamdi, S. S., Alsuhebany, N., Alqahtani, T., Alshaya, A. I., Almohareb, S. N., ... & Albekairy, A. M. (2023). Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of

artificial intelligence in clinical practice. *BMC medical education*, *23*(1), 689.
30. Wu, Y., Chen, F., Song, H., Feng, W., Sun, J., Liu, R., ... & Liu, Y. (2021). Use of a smartphone platform to help with emergency management of acute ischemic stroke: observational study. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, *9*(2), e25488.
31. Ramalingam, M. (2022). Role of ICT in Telemedicine. In *Geospatial Data Science in Healthcare for Society 5.0* (pp. 227-272). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
32. Kuipers, F. T. (2022). *Development of an Interactive Video Practice Application for Rare Procedures in Emergency Medical Services*, Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Groningen, Netherlands.
33. Andrikopoulou, E., Thwaites, T., & De Vos, R. (2023). Rapport and ethics in a digital world: impact on individuals. *Digital Respiratory Healthcare (ERS Monograph). Sheffield, European Respiratory Society*, 107-121.
34. Fukaguchi, K., Goto, T., Yamamoto, T., & Yamagami, H. (2022). Experimental implementation of NSER mobile app for efficient real-time sharing of prehospital patient information with emergency departments: interrupted time-series analysis. *JMIR Formative Research*, *6*(7), e37301.
35. Zhang, Y., & Aryadoust, V. (2022). A systematic review of the validity of questionnaires in second language research. *Education Sciences*, *12*(10), 723.
36. Buchanan, K., Choong, Y. Y., Dawkins, S., & Prettyman, S. S. (2023). Rural First Responders and Communication Technology: A Mixed Methods Approach to Assessing Their Challenges and Needs. In *Disaster Management and Information Technology: Professional Response and Recovery Management in the Age of Disasters* (pp. 181-214). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
37. Govender, S., Khaliq, O. P., Naidoo, R., & Moodley, J. (2024). The current state of emergency medical services in South Africa: A review. *South African Journal of Science*, *120*(7-8), 1-8.
38. Juba, O. O., Olumide, A. F., Idowu David, J., & Adekunle, K. (2024). The role of technology in enhancing domiciliary care: A strategy for reducing healthcare costs and improving safety for aged adults and carers. *Available at SSRN 5023483*.
39. Maphosa, V. (2022). Developing an app for improving access to COVID-19 information in underserved communities. *International Journal of Information Engineering and Electronic Business*, *12*(3), 19.
40. Niyonsaba, M., Nkeshimana, M., Uwitonze, J. M., Davies, J., Maine, R., Nyinawankusi, J. D., Hunt, M., Rickard, R., Jayaraman, S., & Watt, M. H. (2023). Challenges and opportunities to improve efficiency and quality of prehospital emergency care using an mhealth platform: Qualitative study in Rwanda. *African Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 13(2023), 250-257.
41. Alburaidi, A. S., Al-Wathinani, A. M., Aljuaid, M. M., Almuhaidly, A. S., & Goniewicz, K. (2023). Sustainable resilience in healthcare delivery: a comparative study on safety awareness in hospital-based and pre-hospital ems in times of crisis. *Sustainability*, *15*(15), 11593.
42. Wang, Y., Li, J., Zhao, X., Feng, G., & Luo, X. (2020). Using mobile phone data for emergency management: a systematic literature review. *Information Systems Frontiers*, *22*, 1539-1559.
43. Bienstock, J., Heuer, A., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Simulation-based training and its use amongst practicing paramedics and emergency medical technicians: an evidence-based systematic review. *International Journal of Paramedicine*, (1), 12-28.
44. Hitti, E., Hadid, D., Melki, J., Kaddoura, R., & Alameddine, M. (2021). Mobile device uses among emergency department healthcare professionals: prevalence, utilization and attitudes. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 1917.
45. Oraison, H., Nash-Dolby, O., Wilson, B., & Malhotra, R. (2020). Smartphone distraction-addiction: Examining the relationship between psychosocial variables and patterns of use. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, *72*(2), 188-198.
46. de Jong, A., Donelle, L., & Kerr, M. (2020). Nurses' use of personal smartphone technology in the workplace: scoping review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, *8*(11), e18774.
47. Brown, G.T.L. (2021). 'Assessment in the Technology Age: Promising but not yet delivered'. *2021 International Conference of Artificial Intelligence & Technology-Enhanced Language Learning (AiTELL)*, Online & Shanghai, PRC, November.
48. Blanchard, P. N., & Thacker, J. W. (2023). *Effective training: Systems, strategies, and practices*. SAGE Publications.
49. Poongodi, T., Mohan, A., Hamid, B., et al., 2021. *Needs Assessment and Training of EMS Personnel on Mobile Technology.* Healthcare Digitalization Journal, 12, pp. 155-169.
50. Samardzic, M., Doekhie, K.D. and Wijngaarden, J.D.H. (2020). Interventions to improve team effectiveness within health care: A systematic review of the past decade. *Human Resources for Health*, [online] 18(2).
51. Troyer, L., & Brady, W. (2020). Barriers to effective EMS to emergency department information transfer at patient handover: a systematic review. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *38*(7), 1494-1503.
52. Hill, J., Berlin, K., Choate, J., Cravens-Brown, L., McKendrick-Calder, L., & Smith, S. (2021). Can Relational Feed-Forward Enhance Students' Cognitive and Affective Responses to Assessment? *Teaching & Learning Inquiry*, *9*(2), n2.
53. Kowark, A., Felzen, M., Ziemann, S., Wied, S., Czaplik, M., Beckers, S. K., ... & Rossaint, R. (2023). Telemedical support for prehospital emergency medical service in severe emergencies: an open label randomised non-inferiority clinical trial. *Critical Care*, *27*(1), 256.
54. Maria, S. (2021). Paramedics' Clinical Reasoning and Decision-Making in Using Clinical Protocols and Guidelines, Doctoral dissertation). https://theses.flinders.edu.au/view/a9ceabcd-c6d9-4b4f-9629-6315418bfc39/1
55. Ranzani, F. & Parlangeli, O. (2021). Digital technology and usability and ergonomics of medical devices. In L. Donaldson et al. (Eds.), *Textbook of Patient Safety and Clinical Risk Management*. Springer.
56. Lewis, J. R., & Sauro, J. (2021). Usability and user experience: Design and evaluation. *Handbook of human factors and ergonomics*, 972-1015.
57. Pal, S., Biswas, B., Gupta, R., Kumar, A., & Gupta, S. (2023). Exploring the factors that affect user experience in mobile-health applications: A text-mining and machine-learning approach. *Journal of Business Research, 156*, 113484.
58. Quaresma, M., Soares, M.M. and Correia, M. (2022). UX Concepts and Perspectives – From Usability to User-Experience Design. *Handbook of Usability and User Experience*, pp.3–16.